

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

K.M.,  
Plaintiff,  
v.  
TEHACHAPI UNIFIED SCHOOL  
DISTRICT, et al.,  
Defendants.

Case No.: 1:17-cv-01431 LJO JLT  
ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND  
RECOMMENDATIONS TO GRANT THE  
MINOR'S COMPROMISE  
(Doc. 47)

---

BRENDA MARKHAM,  
Plaintiff,  
v.  
TEHACHAPI UNIFIED SCHOOL  
DISTRICT, et al.,  
Defendants.

Case No.: 1:15-cv-01835 LJO JLT  
(Doc. 69)

---

BRENDA MARKHAM,  
Plaintiff,  
v.  
TEHACHAPI UNIFIED SCHOOL  
DISTRICT, et al.,  
Defendants.

Case No.: 1:18-cv-00303 LJO JLT  
(Doc. 22)

1 TEHACHAPI UNIFIED SCHOOL  
DISTRICT

2 Plaintiff,

3 v.

4 K.M.,

5 Defendant.  
6

Case No.: 1:16-cv-01942 LJO JLT

(Doc. 53)

7 In the first-captioned action listed above, K.M., through her guardian ad litem/mother seek  
8 damages from under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act, the Americans with Disabilities  
9 Act, the Rehabilitation Act, and the Unruh Act for being denied a free appropriate public education. In  
10 addition, the parties also have been in litigation in other cases, including, Markham v. Tehachapi  
11 Unified School District, Case No.: 1:15-cv-01835 LJO JLT, Markham v. Tehachapi Unified School  
12 District, Case No.: 1:18-cv-00303 LJO JLT and Markham v. Tehachapi Unified School District v.  
13 Markham, Case No.: 1:16-cv-01942 LJO JLT.<sup>1</sup> The parties have settled all of these actions, pending  
14 approval of this Court of the minor's compromise.

15 On February 28, 2019, Magistrate Judge Jennifer L. Thurston entered findings and  
16 recommendations ("F&Rs"), recommending that the global settlement/minor's compromise be  
17 approved, reasoning that the settlement provides the child significant benefit and services that seem to  
18 best serve her needs. Doc. 47.

19 All parties in all cases listed above were provided an opportunity to file objections to the F&Rs  
20 within 14 days. *Id.* at 8; Local Rule 304. No objections were filed.

21 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 304, this Court  
22 has conducted a de novo review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the Court finds  
23 the F&Rs to be supported by the record and proper analysis.

24 Accordingly, the petition to approve settlement of the minor's claims is **GRANTED** and the  
25 settlement is **APPROVED IN FULL**.

26  
27  
28 

---

<sup>1</sup> As was the practice employed in the F&Rs themselves, for ease of drafting, the docket references in this order are only to K.M. v. Tehachapi Unified School District, Case No.: 1:17-cv-01431 LJO JLT, the case in which the original petition for approval of the minor's compromise was filed.

