1		
2		
3		
<u>4</u>		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	JOHN RAY GHOLAR,	1:18-cv-00340 MJS (HC)
12	Petitioner,	ORDER TRANSFERRING CASE TO THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
13	V.	
14	MICHAEL MARTEL, Warden,	
15	Respondent.	
16		
17	Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed a habeas corpus action	
18	pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254.	
19	The federal venue statute requires that a civil action, other than one based on	
20	diversity jurisdiction, be brought only in "(1) a judicial district where any defendant	
21	resides, if all defendants reside in the same state, (2) a judicial district in which a	
22	substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred, or a	
23	substantial part of the property that is the subject of the action is situated, or (3) a	
24	judicial district in which any defendant may be found, if there is no district in which the	
25	action may otherwise be brought." 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b).	
26	In this case, the petitioner is challenging a conviction from Los Angeles County,	
27	which is in the Central District of California. Therefore, the petition should have been	
28	filed in the United States District Court for the Central District of California. In the	

interest of justice, a federal court may transfer a case filed in the wrong district to the correct district. See 28 U.S.C. § 1406(a); Starnes v. McGuire, 512 F.2d 918, 932 (D.C. Cir. 1974). Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this matter is transferred to the United States District Court for the Central District of California. IT IS SO ORDERED. 1st Michael J. Seng Dated: March 10, 2018