1	LAW OFFICE OF THOMAS P. HOGAN Thomas P. Hogan, Esq. (SBN 95055)				
2	tom@tomhoganlaw.com   Shawnte Priest, Esq. (SBN 298460)				
3	shawnte@tomhoganlaw.com 1207 13th Street, Suite 1 Modesto, CA 95354				
4					
5	Telephone: (209) 214-6600 Facsimile: (209) 492-9356				
6	SHIMODA LAW CORP.				
7	Galen T. Shimoda (SBN 226752) attorney@shimodalaw.com				
8	Erika R. C. Sembrano (SBN 306635) esembrano@shimodalaw.com				
9	9401 East Stockton Blvd., Ste 200 Elk Grove, California 95624				
10	Telephone: (916) 525-0716 Facsimile: (916) 760-3733				
11	Attorneys for Plaintiff				
12	JESSICA BARRIOS				
13	LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP Derek S. Sachs (SBN 253990)				
14	Derek.Sachs@lewisbrisbois.com ADAM P. SMITH, SBN 322035				
15	Adam.Smith@lewisbrisbois.com 2020 West El Camino Avenue, Suite 700				
16	Sacramento, CA 95833 Telephone: (916) 564-5400				
17	Facsimile: (916) 564-5444				
18	Attorneys for Defendant AMERICAN PROPERTY MANAGEMENT, INC.				
19	MINICALVI KOLEKTI WANAGEMENT, INC.				
20	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
21	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, FRESNO DIVISION				
22		Case No. 1:18-cv-00352-AWI-SKO			
23	JESSICA BARRIOS,	STIPULATION AND ORDER TO DISMISS			
24	Plaintiff,	INDIVIDUAL CLAIMS WITH PREJUDICE AND REMAND THIS			
25	VS.	ACTION TO STATE COURT			
26	AMERICAN PROPERTY MANAGEMENT,				
27	INC. and DOES 1 through 10 inclusive,				
28	Defendants.				

1:18-cv-00352-AWI-SKO 1

STIPULATION & ORDER RE DISMISSAL AND REMAND

On October 7, 2019, the parties filed the following stipulation:

This Stipulation and Proposed Order is entered into between Plaintiff Jessica Barrios ("Plaintiff") and Defendant American Property Management, Inc. ("Defendant") (Plaintiff and Defendant all collectively, the "Parties"), by and through their counsel of record, as follows:

WHEREAS Plaintiffs filed a complaint alleging class and individual claims against Defendant in the Superior Court of California for the County of Stanislaus, Case No. 2028910 on February 9, 2018 including claims for unlawful discrimination based on sex and wrongful termination in violation of public policy;

WHEREAS Defendant removed Plaintiff's lawsuit to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California on approximately March 12, 2018 solely based on diversity jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1332 and on the value of Plaintiff's individual claims, with the remaining state law class claims being maintained through supplemental jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367;

WHEREAS the Parties have met and conferred regarding these matters and agreed that Plaintiffs' individual claims for unlawful retaliation, unlawful discrimination based upon sex, and wrongful termination in violation of public policy (Plaintiff's tenth, eleventh, and twelfth causes of action) should be dismissed with prejudice;

WHEREAS these dismissed claims were the basis for satisfying the amount in controversy requirements of original jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332;

WHEREAS the Parties have met and conferred regarding these matters and agreed that supplemental jurisdiction of the remaining state law claims should be denied pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367(c)(2)-(3), *Rodriguez v. Emeritus Corp.*, 2018 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 151295 (E.D. Cal. 2018), and *Ayala v. Infinity Ins. Co.*, 2010 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 75591 (C.D. Cal. 2010) and that the Court should remand the lawsuit back to the Superior Court of California for the County of Stanislaus, Case No. 2028910;

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between the Parties, subject to the approval of the Court, as follows:

1. That Plaintiff's tenth, eleventh, and twelfth causes of action should be dismissed with prejudice;

			1	
1	2. That the Court should decline supplemental jurisdiction of Plaintiff's remaining state law			
2	class action cl	s action claims;		
3	3.	That this case will be remanded to	the Superior Court of California for the County of	
4	Stanislaus, Ca	Stanislaus, Case No. 2028910.		
5	IT IS SO STII	PULATED.		
6				
7	Dated: Octobe	er 7, 2019	LAW OFFICE OF THOMAS P. HOGAN	
8				
9			Dev. /-/ Characta Drivet	
10			By:/s/_ Shawnte Priest Thomas P. Hogan	
11			Shawnte Priest (As Authorized 10/6/19)	
12			Attorneys for Plaintiff	
13	Dated: Octobe	er 7, 2019	SHIMODA LAW CORP.	
14				
15				
16			By: _/s/ Justin P. Rodriguez Galen T. Shimoda	
17			Justin P. Rodriguez Brittany V. Berzin	
18			Attorneys for Plaintiff	
19				
20	Dated: Octobe	er 7, 2019	LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP	
21				
22			By: /s/ Derek S. Sachs	
23			Derek S. Sachs (As Authorized 10/7/19)	
24			Adam P. Smith Attorneys for Defendant	
			•	
25	After consideration, the Court will give affect to the shave stimulation			
26	After consideration, the Court will give effect to the above stipulation.			
27				
28				

ORDER		
ACCORDINGLY, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:		
1.	Plaintiff's tenth, eleventh, and twelfth causes of action are dismissed with prejudice;	
2.	Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367(c), having dismissed Plaintiff's tenth, eleventh, and twelfth	
	causes of action, the Court declines supplemental jurisdiction of Plaintiffs' remaining	
	claims, which solely arise under state law; and	
3.	This case is REMANDED back to the Stanislaus County Superior Court, Case No. Case	
	No. 2028910; and	
4.	The Clerk shall CLOSE this case and send a certified copy of this Order to the clerk of	
	the Stanislaus County Superior Court, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1447(c).	
TT IS SO OF		
IT IS SO ORDERED.  Dated: October 7, 2019		
Dated: October 7, 2019 SENIOR DISTRICT		
JUDGE	SERVICE DISTRICT	
	1. 2. 3. 4. IT IS SO OR Dated: Octo	