1 2 3 4 5 6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 7 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 8 9 JEANLOUISE HALLAL, Case No. 1:18-cv-00388-DAD-BAM 10 Plaintiff, ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S THIRD MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO VS. 11 FILE AN AMENDED COMPLAINT 12 SEROKA, FRANK BRIONES, TAM LE, CURTIS BOUCHE, DEWALL, and STEVEN (ECF No. 15) 13 MOORE. TEN (10) DAY DEADLINE 14 Defendants. 15 16 Plaintiff JeanLouise Hallal is proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights 17 action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. 18 On July 20, 2018, the Court issued a screening order requiring Plaintiff to file an 19 amended complaint within thirty (30) days. (ECF No. 10.) Plaintiff's motion for a sixty-day 20 extension of that deadline was granted, in part, on August 22, 2018, giving Plaintiff an additional 21 thirty (30) days to file her first amended complaint. (ECF No. 12.) 22 On September 19, 2018, Plaintiff moved for an additional extension of time to file her 23 amended complaint due to "pure mental exhaustion." (ECF No. 13.) The Court granted 24 Plaintiff's request, and granted her an additional thirty days (30) days to file her amended 25 complaint. (ECF No. 14.) The Court warned Plaintiff that any further request for an extension 26 would be denied absent a showing of good cause. (ECF No. 14). 27 Currently before the Court is Plaintiff's third motion for an extension of time. Plaintiff's 28

caption states that a "three-week time extension" is needed because she "has been up for three days" and "mental exhaustion." (ECF No. 15). Plaintiff does not include any further details or explanation regarding her condition or why she was unable to complete her request before the deadline. Plaintiff has been granted several prior extensions of time on the basis of her mental condition, and her amended complaint is now over two months late. The Court does not find good cause for another extension at this time.

Accordingly, it is HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff's request for an extension of time is denied. Plaintiff's amended complaint is due within ten (10) days of the date of service of this order. If Plaintiff fails to file a first amended complaint in compliance with this order, this action may be dismissed for failure to obey a court order and failure to state a claim.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: **October 31, 2018**

/s/ Barbara A. McAuliffe
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE