1			
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7 °			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	JEANLOUISE HALLAL and BRENTALAN BRIGNER,	No. 1:18-cv-388-DAD-BAM	
12 13	Plaintiffs,	ORDER GRANTING PLAINITFFS' APPLICATION TO PROCEED WITHOUT PREPAYMENT OF FEES OR COSTS	
14 15 16 17	v. SEROKA, FRANK BRIONES, TAM LE, CURTIS BOUCHE, DeWALL and STEVEN MOORE, Defendants.	(ECF Nos. 3, 5, and 6)	
 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 	Plaintiffs JeanLouise Hallal and Brentalan Brigner are proceeding pro se and have requested eave to proceed in forma pauperis pursuant to Title 28 of the United States Code section 1915(a). laintiffs have made the showing required by section 1915(a), and accordingly, the request to roceed in forma pauperis will be granted. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a). Plaintiffs are advised that the Court is required to screen complaints of pro se litigants ursuant to Title 28 of the United States Code section 1915A(a). The Court must dismiss a complaint or portion thereof if the litigant has raised claims that are legally "frivolous or malicious," that fail to state a claim upon which relief may be granted, or that seek monetary relief from a efendant(s) who is immune from such relief. 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(b)(1)&(2). As a result, urmonses will not issue at this time. The Court will direct the United States Marshal to serve		
		1	

1	Plaintiffs' complaint only after the Court has screened the complaint and determined that it contains		
2	cognizable claims for relief against the named defendants. The Court has a large number of such		
3	cases pending before it and will screen Plaintiff's complaint in due course.		
4	1	0	Ĩ
5	IT IS SO OI	RDERED.	
6	Dated:	June 13, 2018	/s/ Barbara A. McAuliffe
7			UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			2
			2