1	RONALD P. ACKERMAN (SBN 159692) OSHEA V. ORCHID (SBN 298375)	
2	PUBLIC EMPLOYEES LEGAL, LLP 3415 S. Sepulveda Blvd., Suite 660	
3	Los Angeles, CA 90034 Telephone: (310) 649-5300 Telecopier: (310) 853-6945	
4 5	Allison M. Schulman, Esq. (SBN 272081)	
6	LAW OFFICES OF ALLISON M. SCHU 1055 W. Seventh Street, Suite 1920	JLMAN, APC
7	Los Angeles, CA 90017 Tel: 213.262.1825 Fax: 213.262.1834	
8	Attorneys for Plaintiff,	
9	DAVID PHILLIPS-KERLEY	
10		
11		CT COURT OF CALIFORNIA
12		N DISTRICT
13	DAVID PHILLIPS-KERLEY,	<b>Case No.</b> 1:18-CV-438-AWI BAM
14 15	Plaintiff, vs.	STIPULATION TO CONTINUE DEADLINE FOR INITIAL DICLOSURES; ORDER
16	CITY OF FRESNO, AND DOES 1	DICLOSURES, ORDER
17	THROUGH 10, INCLUSIVE,	
18	Defendants.	
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	STIPULATION TO CONTINUE DEAD	-1- LINE FOR INITIAL DICLOSURES; ORDER

1	TO THE COURT, ALL PARTIES, AND TO THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:
2	Plaintiff DAVID PHILLIPS-KERLEY ("Plaintiff") and Defendant CITY OF FRESNO
3	by and through their respective counsel, herby stipulate as follows:
4	A. WHEREAS the Scheduling Order provides that Rule 26 Disclosures are du
5	by July 31, 2020.
6	B. WHEREAS Plaintiff requested additional time to prepare his disclosures an
7	documents.
8	C. WHEREAS Defendant agreed to a continuance of the Rule 26 Disclosur
9	deadline to August 31, 2020, provided that Plaintiff does not serve discovery during the
10	time frame.
11	THEREFORE, IT IS STIPULATED AND AGREED, as follows:
12	1. The deadline for Rule 26 Disclosures shall be continued to August 31, 2020.
13	2. The Parties shall not serve discovery requests until August 31, 2020.
14	DATED: July 27, 2020 LAW OFFICES OF ALLISON M. SCHULMAN
15	
16	By: <u>/s/</u> Allison M. Schulman, Esq.
17	Allison M. Schulman, Esq. Attorneys for Plaintiff DAVID PHILLIPS-KERLEY
18	DAVID TITLLITO KLKLLI
19	DATED: July 27, 2020 BETTS & RUBIN
20	
21	By: /s/
22	James B. Betts, Esq. Joseph D. Rubin, Esq. Attorneys for Defendant
23	CITY OF FRESNO
24	
25	
<ul><li>26</li><li>27</li></ul>	
28	
20	-2-
	STIPULATION TO CONTINUE DEADLINE FOR INITIAL DICLOSURES; ORDER

1	ORDER	
2	Having reviewed the parties' stipulation, the Court DENIES the request to modify the	
3	Scheduling Order in this action without prejudice as the parties have failed to establish good cause	
4	for the requested modification. Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(b).	
5	Modifications of the Scheduling Order will not be granted absent a demonstrated showing of	
6	good cause. Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(b). Good cause may consist of the inability to comply with court	
7	orders in light of the COVID-19 pandemic. Any such difficulties should be explained.	
8		
9	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
10	Dated: July 28, 2020 /s/ Barbara A. McAuliffe	
11	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		