1 2 3 4 5 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 6 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 7 8 9 MATTHEW G. GREGORY, et al., No. 1:18-cv-00524 LJO-SAB 10 Plaintiffs, ORDER GRANTING STATE DEFENDANTS' REQUEST TO EXCEED 11 PAGE LIMIT IN CONNECTION WITH v. MOTION TO DISMISS 12 FRESNO COUNTY, et al., 13 Defendants. 14 15 The Court has reviewed the request of Defendants Department of Justice, Registry of 16 Charitable Trusts, Becerra, Eller, Garcia, Harris, Ibanez, Kim, and Mossler (collectively "State 17 Defendants") for a ten-page extension of their moving and reply briefs in connection with the 18 motion to dismiss they intend to file. The operative complaint in this case is 118 pages long and 19 contains ten causes of action along with lengthy introductory allegations. ECF No. 1. All of the 20 claims appear to arise out of Defendants' conduct in connection with an investigation into 21 Plaintiffs' fundraising for and management of a charity. See generally id. 22 While the Court believes many of the claims can be addressed in short sections of no more 23 than 2-3 pages, the number of causes of action, coupled with the lengthy factual allegations and 24 numerous exhibits, merits an extension of the page limits in this case in the interest of judicial 25 efficiency, so that the Court has the benefit of some briefing on all issues. Accordingly, the page 26 limit on State Defendants' points and authorities in support of their motion to dismiss shall be 27

28

1	shall likewise be extended by however many pages the motion to dismiss actually exceeds the 25
2	page limit. The same extension ruling applies to the reply. In other words, if the motion is 30
3	pages in length, the opposition may be the same length and the reply may be 15 pages in length.
4	
5	IT IS SO ORDERED.
6	Dated: June 12, 2018 /s/ Lawrence J. O'Neill UNITED STATES CHIEF DISTRICT JUDGE
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
1617	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	