## 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 9 10 **DEBORAH BAREFIELD, as Administrator of** 1:18-cv-00527-LJO-JLT the Estate of Thomas W. Hatch, 11 MEMORANDUM DECISION AND Plaintiff, ORDER DENYING EX PARTE 12 MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF CONSOLIDATION OF CASES v. 13 **HSBC HOLDINGS PLC; CALIBER HOME** (ECF No. 79) 14 LOANS, INC.: SUMMIT PROPERTY MANAGEMENT, INC., a California 15 Corporation; DOES 1-20, inclusive; 16 Defendants. 17 The Court has received and reviewed Plaintiff's motion for reconsideration. Not only has 18 Plaintiff not provided any basis for reconsideration, see Local Rule 230(j)(3), but her legal arguments 19 are incorrect as a matter of law. First, her unlawful detainer action was not removed properly. The 20 Local Rules of this District clearly require removed cases to be "initiated pursuant to the CM/ECF 21 procedures in the same fashion as any other civil action." See Local Rule 101, Definition of "Removed 22

Case." That did not happen here. Second, as the Court explained, even if removal had been effectuated

in a procedurally proper manner, this Court would not have jurisdiction over Plaintiff's removed

23

24

25

| 1  | therefore cannot consolidate it with her pending action before the undersigned. The motion is | or |
|----|---|----|
| 2  | reconsideration is <b>DENIED</b> . No further motions on this subject will be entertained.    |    |
| 3  |   |    |
| 4  | IT IS SO ORDERED.   |    |
| 5  | Dated: May 3, 2019 /s/ Lawrence J. O'Neill  |    |
| 6  | UNITED STATES CHIEF DISTRICT JUDGE  |    |
| 7  |   |    |
| 8  |   |    |
| 9  |   |    |
| 10 |   |    |
| 11 |   |    |
| 12 |   |    |
| 13 |   |    |
| 14 |   |    |
| 15 |   |    |
| 16 |   |    |
| 17 |   |    |
| 18 |   |    |
| 19 |   |    |
| 20 |   |    |
| 21 |   |    |
| 22 |   |    |
| 23 |   |    |
| 24 |   |    |
| 25 |   |    |