

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DARCY HARPER,
Plaintiff,
v.
CITY OF MERCED, et al.,
Defendants.

Case No. 1:18-cv-00562-LJO-SKO
ORDER GRANTING APPLICATION TO
PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS
(Doc. 3)

Plaintiff, Darcy Harper, filed a complaint on April 25, 2018 (Doc. 1), an application to proceed in forma pauperis pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915 on April 26, 2018 (Doc. 3).¹ Plaintiff's application demonstrates entitlement to proceed without prepayment of fees.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

- 1. Plaintiff's application to proceed in forma pauperis is GRANTED;
- 2. The Clerk of Court is DIRECTED to issue a summons; and

//
//

¹ Plaintiff also filed an application to proceed in forma pauperis on April 25, 2018, but the application was erroneously noticed for hearing before the wrong magistrate judge. (*See* Doc. 2.) Because the Court grants Plaintiff's correctly-noticed application for in forma pauperis filed the next day, Plaintiff's earlier-filed application (Doc. 2) is DENIED as MOOT.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

3. The United States Marshal is DIRECTED to serve a copy of the complaint, summons, and this order upon the defendant as directed by the plaintiff.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: April 30, 2018

/s/ Sheila K. Oberto
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE