1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	STEVEN SORIA,	CASE NO. 1:18-cv-0635-NONE-JLT (PC)
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER FOR CLARIFICATION
13	v.	(Doc. 30)
14	RAFEL ZUNGIA, et al.,	FOURTEEN-DAY DEADLINE
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	On March 3, 2021, this case was referred to the Post-Screening Alternative Dispute	
18	Resolution Project, and the parties were directed to submit a notice indicating whether they wished	
19	to participate in the project. The Court is in receipt of Plaintiff's notice, which reflects conflicting	
20	intentions. On one hand, he indicates that he does not wish to participate in a settlement conference.	
21	On the other, he indicates that he would like to participate via video conference. In light of this	
22	ambiguity, the Court <b>ORDERS</b> Plaintiff to clarify his response within fourteen days from the date	
23	of this order.	
24		
25	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
26	Dated: March 17, 2021	/s/ Jennifer L. Thurston UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
27		OMILD SIMILS MAGISTRATE JUDGE
28		