

1 be permitted access to the documents, and all other relevant information.” L.R. 141(b). “Only if
2 good cause exists may the Court seal the information from public view after balancing ‘the needs
3 for discovery against the need for confidentiality.’” *Koloff v. Metro. Life Ins. Co.*, No.
4 113CV02060AWIJLT, 2014 WL 12573330, at *1 (E.D. Cal. July 9, 2014) (quoting *Pintos v. Pac.*
5 *Creditors Ass’n*, 605 F.3d 665, 678 (9th Cir. Cal. 2010)). A party may submit an opposition to a
6 request to seal documents within three days of the date of service of the request. L.R. 141(c).

7 Defendant SanMedica International, LLC has not submitted an opposition to Plaintiff’s
8 Request to Seal, and the time to do so has expired. Plaintiff’s Request to Seal is therefore deemed
9 unopposed. Plaintiff has complied with Local Rule 141, and in view of the documents’ designation
10 under the parties’ Protective Order, to which there has been no challenge (*see* Doc. 76 at 7–8), the
11 Court finds there is good cause to allow Plaintiff to file them under seal.

12 Accordingly, the Court GRANTS Plaintiff’s unopposed Request to Seal (Doc. 115) and
13 ORDERS that volumes I and II of the “Deposition transcript of Gina Daines as the corporate
14 representative for Defendant” and exhibits B, C, and D to the Joint Statement be FILED UNDER
15 SEAL in accordance with Local Rule 141(e)(2).

16
17 IT IS SO ORDERED.

18 Dated: December 23, 2020

1/s/ Sheila K. Olerto
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE