UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 11

12

13

14

15

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

SAMUEL ARCHULETA, Plaintiff,

vs.

K. FOUNLONG, et al.,

Defendants.

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

27 28 1:18-cv-00693-LJO-GSA-PC

FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS THAT THIS CASE BE DISMISSED, WITH PREJUDICE, FOR FAILURE TO STATE A

(ECF No. 12.)

OBJECTIONS, IF ANY, DUE WITHIN FOURTEEN (14) DAYS

Samuel Archuleta ("Plaintiff") is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis with this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On May 21, 2018, Plaintiff filed the Complaint commencing this action. (ECF No. 18.) On December 6, 2018, the court issued an order dismissing the Complaint for failure to state a claim, with leave to amend. (ECF No. 10.) On December 17, 2018, Plaintiff filed the First Amended Complaint. (ECF No. 11.)

Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint was not signed, and Plaintiff did not request any relief therein. On December 17, 2018, the court issued an order striking the First Amended Complaint and ordering Plaintiff to file a Second Amended Complaint that includes a request for relief and which bears Plaintiff's original signature, within thirty days. (ECF No. 12.) The thirty-day time period has expired and Plaintiff has not filed a Second Amended Complaint or otherwise responded to the court's order. As a result, there is no pleading on file which sets forth any claims upon which relief may be granted.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that:

- 1. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915A and 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e), this case be DISMISSED, with prejudice, based on Plaintiff's failure to state a claim upon which relief may be granted under § 1983; and
- 2. This dismissal be subject to the "three strikes" provision of 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g).

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of Title 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen (14) days from the date of service of these findings and recommendations, Plaintiff may file written objections with the court. Such a document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may result in the waiver of rights on appeal. Wilkerson v. Wheeler, 772 F.3d 834, 838-39 (9th Cir. 2014) (citing Baxter v. Sullivan, 923 F.2d 1391, 1394 (9th Cir. 1991)).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: **February 12, 2019**

/s/ Gary S. Austin
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE