|                                     | ED STATES DISTRICT COURT<br>RN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA                          |
|-------------------------------------|--|
| SAMUEL ARCHULETA,                   | 1:18-cv-00693-LJO-GSA-PC   |
| Plaintiff,<br>vs.                   | ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND<br>RECOMMENDATIONS<br>(ECF No. 14.)                |
| K. FOUNLONG, et al.,<br>Defendants. | ORDER DISMISSING THIS CASE, WITH<br>PREJUDICE, FOR FAILURE TO STATE A<br>CLAIM |
|                                     | ORDER THAT DISMISSAL IS SUBJECT TO 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g)                         |
|                                     | ORDER FOR CLERK TO CLOSE CASE  |
|                                     |  |

Samuel Archuleta ("Plaintiff") is a state prisoner proceeding *pro se* and *in forma pauperis* with this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.

On February 12, 2019, <u>findings and recommendations</u> were entered, recommending that this action be dismissed based on Plaintiff's failure to state a claim upon which relief may be granted under §1983. (ECF No. 14.) Plaintiff was granted fourteen days in which to file objections to the findings and recommendations. (<u>Id.</u>) The fourteen-day time period has expired, and Plaintiff has not filed objections or otherwise responded to the findings and recommendations.

| 1  | In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 304, this              |   |  |
|----|--|---|--|
| 2  | court has conducted a <i>de novo</i> review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, |   |  |
| 3  | the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and proper            |   |  |
| 4  | analysis.  |   |  |
| 5  | Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:  |   |  |
| 6  | 1.   | The Findings and Recommendations issued by the Magistrate Judge on February         |  |
| 7  |  | 12, 2019, are adopted in full;  |  |
| 8  | 2.   | This action is dismissed, with prejudice, based on Plaintiff's failure to state a   |  |
| 9  |  | claim upon which relief may be granted under § 1983;                                |  |
| 10 | 3.   | This dismissal is subject to the "three-strikes" provision set forth in 28 U.S.C. § |  |
| 11 |  | 1915(g); See Harris v. Mangum, 15-15054, 863 F.3d 1133, 1143 (9th Cir. 2017);       |  |
| 12 |  | and   |  |
| 13 | 4.   | The Clerk of Court is directed to close this case.                                  |  |
| 14 | IT IS SO O   | δυέδευ  |  |
| 15 |  | KDEKED.   |  |
| 16 | Dated:   | March 8, 2019/s/ Lawrence J. O'NeillUNITED STATES CHIEF DISTRICT JUDGE              |  |
| 17 |  |   |  |
| 18 |  |   |  |
| 19 |  |   |  |
| 20 |  |   |  |
| 21 |  |   |  |
| 22 |  |   |  |
| 23 |  |   |  |
| 24 |  |   |  |
| 25 |  |   |  |
| 26 |  |   |  |
| 27 |  |   |  |
| 28 |  |   |  |
|    |  |   |  |