1			
2			
3			
4	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
5	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
6			
7 MIC	HAEL SCOTT TAYLOR, et al.,	Case No. 1:18-cv-00760-BAM	
8	Plaintiffs,	ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFFS' EX PARTE APPLICATION FOR ORDER PERMITTING FILING MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT IN	
9	v.		
10 COU	INTY OF CALAVERAS, et al.,	EXCESS OF 25 PAGES	
11	Defendants.	(Doc. No. 82)	
12			
13	Currently before the Court is Plaintiffs Michael Scott Taylor and Lori Melville's		
14 ("Plai	("Plaintiffs") ex parte application for leave to file a brief in support of their motion for partial		
15 summ	summary judgment in excess of twenty-five (25) pages. (Doc. No. 82.)		
16	This action arises out of a June 24, 2017 contact between three Calaveras County		
17 Sherit	Sheriff's Department deputies and Plaintiffs following Plaintiffs' neighbors call for service of a		
18 gunsh	gunshot too close to the neighbor's dwelling. (See Doc. No. 82 at 1.) In support of the		
19 applic	application, counsel for Plaintiffs filed a declaration explaining that Plaintiffs have prepared a		
20 motio	motion for partial summary judgment regarding alleged violations of Plaintiff Michael Scott		
21	Taylor's First and Fourth Amendment rights and Plaintiff Lori Melville's Fourth Amendment		
22	rights during the June 24, 2017 contact as well as state law claims for false arrest/false		
23	imprisonment and violation of the Bane Act. (Id. at 2.) As part of the motion, Plaintiffs address		
24	issues regarding their home's curtilage, the legality of entry upon Plaintiffs' property, exigency,		
emerg	emergency, consent, "knock and talk" exceptions to the warrant requirement, probable cause		
	for Mr. Taylor's arrest, and qualified immunity, among other topics. (<i>Id.</i>) Plaintiffs represent		
	that their statement of undisputed material facts includes one hundred and thirty-six (136) facts f_{12}		
28 and tr	ne motion presently totals thirty-five (35) p	ages. (10.)	

1	Plaintiffs request leave to file a brief not to exceed thirty-five pages in support of their	
2	motion for partial summary judgment. (Doc. No. 83 at 3.) According to the application,	
3	Defendants' counsel has indicated that he has no opposition to the request. (Id. at 3.)	
4	Having considered Plaintiffs' request, and in light of the representation that Defendants	
5	do not oppose the application, the Court will grant Plaintiffs leave to file a brief in excess of	
6	thirty-five pages. The brief shall contain a detailed table of contents. However, Plaintiffs are	
7	reminded of their obligation to meet and confer with Defendants prior to filing their motion in	
8	order to, among other things, narrow the issues for review by the Court and arrive at a joint	
9	statement of undisputed facts. (See Doc. No. 32 at 3-4.)	
10	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:	
10	1. Plaintiffs' ex parte application (Doc. No. 82) seeking leave to file a brief in	
	support of their motion for partial summary judgment in excess of twenty-five (25) pages is	
12	GRANTED; and	
13	2. Plaintiffs' brief in support of their motion for partial summary judgment shall not	
14	exceed thirty-five (35) pages.	
15	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
16	II IS SO ORDERED.	
17	Dated: July 16, 2020 /s/ Barbara A. McAuliffe	
18	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		