1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	ARTHUR DEAN KNAPP,	1:18-cv-00811-LJO-SKO (PC)	
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER DENYING SECOND MOTION	
13	V.	FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL	
14	MADERA COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF	(Doc. 17)	
15	CORRECTIONS, et al.,		
16	Defendants.		
17	On January 14, 2010, plaintiff filed a motion applies the appointment of assured		
18	On January 14, 2019, plaintiff filed a motion seeking the appointment of counsel.		
19	Plaintiff does not have a constitutional right to appointed counsel in this action, <u>Rand v. Rowland</u> , 113 E 3d 1520, 1525 (9th Cir. 1997), and the court cannot require an attorney to represent		
20	113 F.3d 1520, 1525 (9th Cir. 1997), and the court cannot require an attorney to represent		
21	plaintiff pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1). <u>Mallard v. United States District Court for the</u>		
22	Southern District of Iowa, 490 U.S. 296, 298 (1989). However, in certain exceptional		
23	circumstances the court may request the voluntary assistance of counsel pursuant to section $1015(x)(1)$ , $P_{1}$ , $112$ , $P_{2}$ , $112$ , $1525$		
24	1915(e)(1). <u>Rand</u> , 113 F.3d at 1525.		
25	Without a reasonable method of securing and compensating counsel, the court will seek		
26	volunteer counsel only in the most serious and exceptional cases. In determining whether		
27	"exceptional circumstances exist, the district court must evaluate both the likelihood of success of		
28	the merits [and] the ability of the [plaintiff] to articulate his claims <i>pro se</i> in light of the		
-		1	

1	complexity of the legal issues involved." Id (internal quotation marks and situations amitted)		
	complexity of the legal issues involved." <u>Id</u> . (internal quotation marks and citations omitted).		
2	In the present case, the court does not find the required exceptional circumstances.		
3	Even if it is assumed that plaintiff is not well versed in the law and that he has made serious		
4	allegations which, if proved, would entitle him to relief, his case is not exceptional. This court is		
5	faced with similar cases almost daily. Further, at this early stage in the proceedings, the court		
6	cannot make a determination that plaintiff is likely to succeed on the merits, and based on a		
7	review of the record in this case, the court does not find that plaintiff cannot adequately articulate		
8	his claims. <u>Id</u> .		
9	For the foregoing reasons, plaintiff's motion for the appointment of counsel is HEREBY		
10	DENIED, without prejudice.		
11			
12	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
13	Dated: January 18, 2019  s  Sheila K. Oberto		
14	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE		
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
20 21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28	2		