1		
2		
3		
4		
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
6	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
7		
8	MATTHEW BOULAS,	Case No. 1:18-cv-01163-LJO-BAM
9	Plaintiff,	ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS RECOMMENDING
10	V.	PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR LEAVE TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS BE
11	UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE,	DENIED
12	Defendant.	ORDER DENYING AMENDED APPLICATION TO PROCEED IN FORMA
13		PAUPERIS
14		(Doc. Nos. 2,3 and 4)
15		
16		
17		I
18	Plaintiff Matthew Boulas ("Plaintiff") is proceeding pro se in this civil matter. Plaintiff	
19	initiated this action on August 27, 2018. (Doc. 1). On the same day, Plaintiff filed an application	
20	to proceed <i>in forma pauperis</i> under 28 U.S.C. § 1915. (Doc. 2).	
21	On September 18, 2018, the assigned Magistrate Judge issued findings and	
22	recommendations that Plaintiff's application to proceed without prepayment of fees and costs (Doc.	
23	2) be denied, and Plaintiff be required to pay the \$400.00 filing fee in full to proceed with this	
24	action. (Doc. No. 3.) The findings and recom	nmendations were served on Plaintiff and contained
25 25	notice that any objections thereto were to be filed within fourteen (14) days after service. (<u>Id.</u>) On	
26	October 1, 2018, in lieu of formal objections, Plaintiff filed an amended application to proceed in	
27	forma pauperis. (Doc. No. 4.)	
28		

In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), the undersigned has conducted a de novo review of this case, including the amended application to proceed in forma pauperis. The Court finds that the findings and recommendations are supported by the record and by proper analysis. Even if the Court were to construe Plaintiff's amended application as an objection to the Magistrate Judge's findings and recommendations, Plaintiff has failed to make the requisite showing that he is unable to pay the required fees for this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a).

8 Plaintiff's initial application indicated that he received take-home pay or wages in the 9 amount of \$1,840.00 per month, and \$420.00 per week in state disability benefits. (Doc. No. 2 at 10 1.) In his amended application, however, Plaintiff now asserts that he does not receive gross pay 11 or wages, but instead receives an unspecified amount in disability or worker's compensation 12 payments. (Doc. No. 4.) Plaintiff's application is incomplete and does not appear to be a true and 13 correct statement regarding the amount of monthly income that he receives from any source. Even 14 assuming Plaintiff does not receive any take-home pay or wages, his amended application 15 represents to this Court that he receives state disability benefits. He has omitted from his amended 16 application the exact amount of those benefits, leaving the original application, which indicates he 17 receives \$420.00 per week in state benefits, as the only evidence related to those benefits. This amounts to an income of at least \$21,840.00.¹ The Court concludes that Plaintiff has not made the 18 19 requisite showing to justify permitting him to proceed without prepayment of the filing fee.

- 20
- Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED as follows:
- 21 22
- 1. The findings and recommendations issued on September 18, 2018, are adopted in full;
- 23
- 2. Plaintiff's applications to proceed without prepayment of fees and costs (Doc. Nos.

¹ Many courts look to the federal poverty guidelines set by the United States Department of Health and Human Services ("HHS") as a guidepost in evaluating in forma pauperis applications. *See Martinez v. Kristi Kleaners, Inc.*, 364 F.3d 1305, 1307 n.5 (11th Cir. 2004). For a family of two, the present poverty guideline is \$16,460. *See* U.S.
Federal Poverty Guidelines Used to Determine Financial Eligibility for Certain Federal Programs, *available at* https://aspe.hhs.gov/poverty-guidelines (last visited October 31, 2018). Also relevant is the fact that, assuming Plaintiff receives \$420.00 a week in state benefits, his income is above his stated expenses. *Lopez-Ruiz v. Tripler Army Med. Ctr.* 's *Postdoctoral Fellowship in Clinical Psychology*, No. CV. 11-0066 JMS/BMK, 2011 WL 486952, at *1 (D. Haw. Feb. 4, 2011) (denying in forma pauperis status to plaintiff whose income was \$1,800 per month and whose monthly income exceeded monthly expenses).

1	2 and 4) are denied; and	
2	3. Within thirty (30) days, Plaintiff shall pay the \$400.00 filing fee in full in order to	
3	proceed with this action.	
4		
5	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
6	Dated: October 31, 2018 /s/ Lawrence J. O'Neill	
7	UNITED STATES CHIEF DISTRICT JUDGE	
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	3	