1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	ANTWOINE BEALER,	No. 1:18-cv-01170-DAD-SAB (PC)
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS AND DISMISSING
14	KERN VALLEY STATE PRISON	ACTION FOR FAILURE TO STATE A
15	CLASSIFICATION COMMITTEE, Defendant.	<u>CLAIM</u> (Das No. 20)
16	Derendant.	(Doc. No. 30)
17		
18	Plaintiff Antwoine Bealer is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in	
19	this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This matter was referred to a United States	
20	magistrate judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.	
21	On October 24, 2019, the assigned magistrate judge issued findings and recommendations	
22	recommending dismissal of plaintiff's second amended complaint, with prejudice, due to his	
23	failure to state a claim upon which relief may be granted. (Doc. No. 30.) The findings and	
24	recommendations were served on plaintiff and contained notice that any objections thereto were	
25	to be filed within thirty (30) days after service. (Id. at 12–13.) On November 22, 2019, plaintiff	
26	filed his objections to the findings and recommendations. (Doc. No. 31.)	
27	/////	
28	/////	
		1

1	In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule 304, this	
2	court has conducted a <i>de novo</i> review of the case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file,	
3	including plaintiff's objections, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported	
4	by the record and proper analysis. In his objections, plaintiff does not meaningfully dispute the	
5	magistrate judge's findings, instead he merely contends in conclusory fashion that "The Justice	
6	System Is Biased" and "Should Be OverHauled." Setting aside the lack of analysis for his	
7	assertions in this regard, plaintiff's objections do not dispute that his second amended complaint	
8	fails to allege a claim, despite the court having granted him leave to amend as well as providing	
9	him the legal standards that apply to the claims he appears to be attempting to allege.	
10	Accordingly,	
11	1. The findings and recommendations issued on October 24, 2019 (Doc. No. 30) are	
12	adopted in full;	
13	2. This action is dismissed, with prejudice, due to plaintiff's failure to state a claim	
14	upon which relief may be granted; and	
15	3. The Clerk of the Court is directed to close this case.	
16	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
17	Dated: December 17, 2019 Jale A. Drod	
18	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	2	