1	PETER R. DION-KINDEM (SBN 95267))	
2	THE DION-KINDEM LAW FIRM PETER R. DION-KINDEM, P. C. 2945 Townsgate Road, Suite 200 Westlake Village, CA 19361		
3			
4	Telephone: (818) 883-4900 Fax: (818) 338-2533		
5	Email: peter@dion-kindemlaw.com		
6	LONNIE C. BLANCHARD, III (SBN 93530)		
7	THE BLANCHARD LAW GROUP, APC		
8	5211 East Washington Blvd., # 2262 Commerce, CA 90040		
	Telephone: (213) 599-8255		
9	Fax: (213) 402-3949 Email: lonnieblanchard@gmail.com		
10	Eman. ionnebianchard@gman.com		
11	Attorneys for Plaintiff Cristobal Garcia		
12	LINUTED OT ATEC DICTRICT COLUDT		
13	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
14	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
15		C. N. 1.10 . 012(1.DAD.H.T.	
16	Cristobal Garcia, an individual, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated,	Case No. 1:18-cv-01261-DAD-JLT (Assigned to the Hon. Dale A. Drozd)	
17	Plaintiff,	Joint Stipulation Continuing Class	
18	vs.	Certification Dates	
19	Sahlumbangan Lift Salutiona LLC a Dalawan		
20	Schlumberger Lift Solutions LLC, a Delaware Limited Liability Company; Schlumberger Rod		
21	Lift Inc., a Delaware Corporation; and Does 1 through 10,		
22			
~~	Defendants.		
23	Defendants. Plaintiff Cristobal Garcia and Defendants So	chlumberger Lift Solutions LLC and Schlumberger	
	Plaintiff Cristobal Garcia and Defendants So	chlumberger Lift Solutions LLC and Schlumberger ties") jointly stipulate as follows:	
23	Plaintiff Cristobal Garcia and Defendants So Rod Lift, Inc. ("Defendants") (collectively "the Part	ties") jointly stipulate as follows:	
23 24	Plaintiff Cristobal Garcia and Defendants So Rod Lift, Inc. ("Defendants") (collectively "the Part WHEREAS, Plaintiff filed his Motion for C	ties") jointly stipulate as follows: lass Certification on July 10, 2020;	
23242526	Plaintiff Cristobal Garcia and Defendants So Rod Lift, Inc. ("Defendants") (collectively "the Part WHEREAS, Plaintiff filed his Motion for C WHEREAS, Defendants' deadline to oppose	ties") jointly stipulate as follows: lass Certification on July 10, 2020; e Plaintiff's Motion is currently set for October 26,	
2324252627	Plaintiff Cristobal Garcia and Defendants So Rod Lift, Inc. ("Defendants") (collectively "the Part WHEREAS, Plaintiff filed his Motion for C	ties") jointly stipulate as follows: lass Certification on July 10, 2020; e Plaintiff's Motion is currently set for October 26,	
23242526	Plaintiff Cristobal Garcia and Defendants So Rod Lift, Inc. ("Defendants") (collectively "the Part WHEREAS, Plaintiff filed his Motion for C WHEREAS, Defendants' deadline to oppose	ties") jointly stipulate as follows: lass Certification on July 10, 2020; e Plaintiff's Motion is currently set for October 26,	

WHEREAS, the Court's initial Scheduling Order, issued on November 29, 2018 (Dkt. 12), states that "defendant may conduct discovery as to the evidence relied upon by plaintiff for the motion for class certification ... [d]uring the interval between the filing of the motion and the deadline for the opposition";

WHEREAS, originally for the first request for continuance, Defendants requested that 12 depositions of witnesses be taken. These witnesses were the 12 declarants submitted in support of Plaintiff's motion for certification;

WHEREAS, six of those depositions have already been taken – one of which Defendants want to continue to a second session. As a result, there are a total of seven depositions that remain to be taken out of 12 declarants;

WHEREAS, Defendants wish to obtain statements from 10 additional witnesses with respect to Plaintiff's Motion for Certification. Because those individuals are members of the settlement class which this Court preliminarily approved on July 16, 2020, and because Plaintiff objects to Defendants having direct contact with these individuals in light of their status as settlement class members, Defendants have requested to depose them.

WHEREAS, this means that there are at least a total of 17 uncompleted depositions that Defendants want to take to oppose Plaintiff's Motion for Certification;

WHEREAS, Defendants have proposed a schedule to complete this discovery in time for the current October 26, 2020 opposition deadline but which Plaintiff's counsel believes is functionally unworkable from a scheduling standpoint;

WHEREAS, Plaintiff's counsel represents that this schedule is functionally impossible due to issues related to the Coronavirus pandemic, the needs of other cases being handled by Plaintiff's counsel, and other personal and business scheduling reasons;

WHEREAS, the Parties agree that, because of the cited issues, it is agreeable to all counsel that Defendants have additional time to take discovery to oppose certification. The Parties propose the that Defendants' Opposition to the Motion for Certification, Plaintiff's Reply to the Opposition to the Motion for Certification and the hearing date on the motion be continued for approximately 90 days so

1	[PROPOSED] ORDER	
2	Based upon the stipulation of the Parties, the Court ORDERS the case schedule to be amended	
3	as follows:	
4	1. Defendants' Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion SHALL be filed no later than January 25,	
5	2021;	
6 7	2. Plaintiff's Reply to the Opposition, if any, SHALL be filed no later than March 1, 2021;	
8	3. The hearing on Plaintiff's Motion for Class Certification is re-set for April 12, 2020 at	
9	9:00 a.m. before Judge Thurston at the United States Courthouse located at 510 19 th Street, Bakersfield,	
10	California.	
11	The Court does not anticipate granting any further extensions of time related to the class	
12		
13	certification motion, so counsel are strongly urged to comply with these new deadlines.	
14		
15	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
16	Dated: September 14, 2020 /s/ Jennifer L. Thurston UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
17	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	Joint Stipulation Continuing Class Certification Dates 4	
	∥ *	