1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10		7	
11	MICHAEL ANTHONY HOWARD,	Case No. 1:18-cv-01710-DAD-EPG (PC)	
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANTS' SECOND MOTION TO MODIFY THE	
13	v.	SCHEDULING ORDER	
14	SGT. ENCINAS, et al.,	(ECF No. 50)	
15	Defendants.		
16			
17 18	The Court has before it Defendants' secon	ad motion to modify the scheduling order (ECE	
18 19			
20	modifications. Accordingly,		
20	IT IS ORDERED that Defendants' second motion to modify the scheduling order is		
22	GRANTED. The Court's scheduling order (ECF No. 42), as previously modified by the Court's		
23	June 24, 2020 order (ECF No. 48), is further modified as follows:		
24		gation to depose Plaintiff and file any related	
25	motion to compel by the deadlines	previously established by this Court;	
26	2. Defendants have until February 16,	2021, to depose Plaintiff and file any related	
27	motion to compel; and		
28			
		1	

1	3. The deadline for Defendants to file a dispositive motion, currently February 11, 2021	
2	is extended to May 11, 2021.	
3	All other terms of the Court's scheduling order (ECF No. 42), as previously modified by	
4	the Court's June 24, 2020 order (ECF No. 48), remain in full force and effect. While the Court is	
5	not modifying any other dates or deadlines at this time, the parties shall be prepared to discuss	
6	whether the schedule needs any additional modifications at the Telephonic Discovery and Status	
7	Conference, which is currently set for December 16, 2020, at 3:00 p.m.	
8		
9	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
10	Dated: September 9, 2020 /s/ Enin P. Group	
11	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	2	