1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	MICHELLE CONCEPCION,	Case No.: 1:18-cv-01743-LJO-JLT (PC)
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER DISCHARGING ORDER TO SHOW
13	V.	CAUSE AND EXTENDING TIME FOR PLAINTIFF TO RESPOND TO FIRST
14	CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF	SCREENING ORDER
15	CORRECTIONS AND REHABILITATION, et al.,	(Docs. 9-13)
16	Defendants.	21-DAY DEADLINE
17		
18		
19	On April 2, 2019, the Court issued a screening order in which it granted Plaintiff leave to	
20	file a first amended complaint. (Doc. 9.) At Plaintiff's request, the Court granted an extension of	
21	time to respond to the screening order. (Doc. 10, 11.) Plaintiff failed to file an amended complaint	
22	or otherwise respond to the Court's order by the extended deadline. Thus, the Court issued an	
23	order to show cause why this action should not be dismissed for failure to comply with the	
24	Court's order and prosecute this action. (Doc. 12.)	
25	Plaintiff filed a response to the OSC indicating that he believed an administrative appeal	
26	would be granted and that, when the administrative appeal was ultimately denied, he sought legal	
27		
28		

1	advice on how to proceed. <sup>1</sup> (Doc. 13.) He states that he did not have sufficient time between the	
2	administrative-appeal denial and the screening-order deadline to receive the solicited advice.	
3	Good cause appearing, the Court <b>ORDERS</b> :	
4	1. The order to show cause that issued on August 21, 2019, (Doc. 12) is	
5	DISCHARGED;	
6	2. <u>Within 21 days</u> from the date of service of this order, Plaintiff shall file a first	
7	amended complaint curing the deficiencies identified by the Court in the April 2, 2019	
8	screening order or, in the alternative, file a notice of voluntary dismissal.	
9	The Court notes that multiple months have passed since the issuance of its screening	
10	order. If Plaintiff fails to comply with the present order, the Court will dismiss this action	
11	for failure to obey a court order and for failure to state a cognizable claim.	
12		
13	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
14	Dated:October 9, 2019/s/ Jennifer L. ThurstonUNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
15		
16		
17		
18		
10		
20		
20		
21		
22		
23 24		
25 26		
26 27		
27	<sup>1</sup> In his response, Plaintiff states that he is transgender male and requested that the Court address him with male pronouns. The Court does so here.	
28	2	