1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	GREGORY J. BROD, CSB 184456 MARKUS WILLOUGHBY, CSB 197478 WILLOUGHBY BROD, LLP 96 Jessie Street San Francisco, California 94105 Telephone (415) 397-1130 Facsimile (415) 397-2121  Attorneys for Plaintiffs DANA SMITHEE, E.M., a minor by and through guardian ad litem JENNIFER MONTES	
9		
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
11	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
12		
13	DANIA SMITHEE "E M " a minor by and	) Case No. 1:19-cv-0004 LJO JLT
14	through her guardian ad litem, JENNIFER	) Case No. 1:19-cv-0004 LJO JLT )
15	MONTES,	) ) STIPULATION AND PROPOSED
16	Plaintiffs,	ORDER CONTINUING THE HEARING DATE OF MOTIONS TO DISMISS
17	VS.	) DATE OF MOTIONS TO DISMISS
18		) )
19	CALIFORNIA CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTION, PRATAP NARAYAN,	
20	KARIN CELOSSE, JENNIFER SEYMOUR,	) )
21	RHONDA LITT-STONER, and DOES 1 through 200,	) )
22		
23	Defendants.	)
24		) )
25		)
26		
27		
28		

SECOND STIPULATION AND PROPOSED ORDER REGARDING HEARING DATE OF MOTIONS TO DISMISS: Case No. 1:19-cv-0004 LJO JLT

1	On or about January 30, 2020, Defendant PRATAP NARAYAN filed a Motion to		
2	Dismiss the Fourth Amended Complaint (Document 66) (hereinafter referred to as the		
3	"NARAYAN Motion"). On or about January 30, 2020, Defendants KARIN CELOSSE,		
4	JENNIFER SEYMOUR, RHONDA LITT-STONER filed a Motion to Dismiss the Fourth		
5	Amended Complaint (Document 67) (hereinafter referred to as the "C.C.I. Defendants'		
6 7	Motion"). The hearing date for both motions is currently set for March 9, 2020.		
8	The parties have agreed to continue the hearing date to March 31, 2020, or to a date		
9	convenient to this Court. In addition, plaintiffs' oppositions to the NARAYAN Motion and the		
10	C.C.I. Defendants' Motion would be due on or by March 10, 2020, with reply briefs due on or		
11			
12			
13			
14	Dated: February 20, 2020 WILL	OUGHBY BROD, LLP	
15 16			
17		/S/ Gregory J. Brod	
18	8	GREGORY J. BROD	
19	9	eys for Plaintiffs	
20	a mino	SMITHEE, E.M., or by and through guardian	
21		m JENNIFER MONTES	
22	Dated: February 20, 2020 BEES	ON TERHORST, LLP	
23	3		
24	4    By:	S/ Jeffrey E. Beeson	
25		JEFFREY E. BEESON, ESQ.	
26	Thio in	eys for Defendant	
27	$rac{PRATA}{rac{TA}{rac}{TA}{rac{TA}{rac}{TA}{rac{TA}{rac}{TA}{rac}{TA}{rac}{TA}{rac}}}}}}} } } } } } } } } } } } } } } } $	AP NARAYAN, M.D.	
28	8		

SECOND STIPULATION AND PROPOSED ORDER REGARDING HEARING DATE OF MOTIONS TO DISMISS: Case No. 1:19-cv-0004 LJO JLT

1	Dated: February 20, 2020	XAVIER BECERRA Attorney General of California
2		Attorney General of California JON S. ALLIN Supervising Deputy Attorney General
3		Supervising Deputy Attorney General
4		By: <i>S/ Jeremey Duggan</i> JEREMY DUGGAN
5		JEREMY DUGGAN Deputy Attorney General
6		Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Defendants Celosse, Litt-Stoner, Seymour
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

## [Proposed] ORDER

Good cause appearing, the parties' stipulation to allow the continuance of the hearing date for the motions to dismiss is GRANTED. The hearing for the two motions to dismiss, currently set for March 9, 2020 at 9:30 a.m., is continued to March 31, 2020 at 9:30 a.m. Plaintiffs are to file any opposition to the motions to dismiss by March 10, 2020, and any reply briefs due on or by March 24, 2020.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: **February 25, 2020** 

/s/ Jennifer L. Thurston
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE