

1 recommendations were served on plaintiff and contained notice that any objections thereto were
2 to be filed within twenty-one (21) days after service. (*Id.* at 7–8.) To date, no objections to the
3 findings and recommendations have been filed, and the time in which to do so has now passed.

4 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), this court has conducted a
5 *de novo* review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the court finds the findings
6 and recommendations to be supported by the record and proper analysis.

7 Accordingly,

- 8 1. The findings and recommendations issued on May 21, 2021, (Doc. No. 29), are
9 adopted in full;
- 10 2. This action shall proceed only on plaintiff’s third amended complaint, filed
11 May 6, 2020 (Doc. No. 23), against defendants Moore, Alvarez, Guembe, and
12 Shieffer for alleged violations of the Free Exercise Clause of the First Amendment,
13 pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 and for alleged violation of RLUIPA; and,
- 14 3. All other claims are dismissed from this action for failure to state a claim because
15 the granting of further leave to amend would be futile; and
- 16 4. Defendant Corral is dismissed from this action;
- 17 5. This case is referred back to the assigned magistrate judge for further proceedings
18 consistent with this order.

19 IT IS SO ORDERED.

20 Dated: July 18, 2021

21 
22 _____
23 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
24
25
26
27
28