1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
7	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
8			
9	KEITH ROBERT LUGO,	No.: 1:19-cv-00039-NONE-SAB (PC)	
10	Plaintiff,	ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS, GRANTING IN	
11	v.	PART AND DENYING IN PART PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO AMEND, AND	
12	R. FISHER, et al.,	DIRECTING CLERK OF COURT TO FILE THRID AMENDED COMPLAINT	
13	Defendants.	(Doc. Nos. 76, 82)	
14			
15	Plaintiff Keith Robert Lugo is a state prisoner proceeding pro se in a civil rights action		
16	pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This matter was referred to a United States magistrate judge		
17	pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.		
18	On March 12, 2021, the assigned magistrate judge issued findings and recommendations		
19	recommending that plaintiff's motion to amend his complaint be granted in part and denied in		
20	part. (Doc. No. 82.) The findings and recommendations were served on the parties and contained		
21	notice that objections were due within fourteen (14) days. (Id. at 8.) More than fourteen days		
22	have passed since the findings and recommendations were served, and no objections have been		
23	filed.		
24	In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), this court has conducted a		
25	de novo review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the court finds that the		
26	magistrate judge's findings and recommendations are supported by the record and by proper		
27	analysis.		
28	/////		
		1	

1	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:		
2	1.	1. The findings and recommendations issued on March 12, 2021 (Doc. No. 82), are	
3		adopted in full;	
4	2.	Plaintiff's motion to amend	d is granted with respect to adding defendants
5		Minnehan, G. Lucas, C. D	uree, J. Castillo, R. Perez, R. Vasquez, and G. Vasquez
6		in their individual capacitie	es for violation of plaintiff's right under the First
7		Amendment to send and/or	r receive mail;
8	3. Plaintiff's motion to amend is denied with respect to adding claims against		
9		defendants Minnehan, G. I	Lucas, C. Duree, J. Castillo, R. Perez, R. Vasquez, and
10		G. Vasquez in their officia	l capacities; denied with respect to adding CDCR as a
11		defendant, and denied with	respect to adding a claim for denial of access to the
12		courts;	
13	4.	The Clerk of Court is direc	eted to file the third amended complaint which was
14		lodged on February 5, 202	1 (Doc. No. 79); and
15	5.	The matter is referred back	to the magistrate judge for further proceedings.
16			
17	Dated:April 19, 2021	April 19, 2021	Dale A. Drogd
18			UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			2