

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

EDELTRAUD HAGIWARA,
Plaintiff,
v.
DR. DAVID RAO,
Defendant.

No. 1:19-cv-00189-DAD-JLT (PC)

ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND
RECOMMENDATIONS AND DISMISSING
PLAINTIFF'S FIRST AMENDED
COMPLAINT WITHOUT LEAVE TO
AMEND

(Doc. No. 10)

Plaintiff Edeltraud Hagiwara is a prisoner proceeding *pro se* with this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.

On July 2, 2020, the assigned magistrate judge screened the complaint and found that plaintiff had failed to state a cognizable claim. (Doc. No. 10 at 4–5.) The magistrate judge then issued findings and recommendations, recommending that the action be dismissed without leave to amend. (*Id.* at 5.) The findings and recommendations were served on plaintiff and contained notice that any objections were to be filed within fourteen (14) days of service. (*Id.*) No objections have been filed, and the time to do so has now passed.

////

1 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 304, the
2 court has conducted a *de novo* review of the case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the
3 court concludes that the findings and recommendations are supported by the record and proper
4 analysis.

5 Accordingly:

- 6 1. The findings and recommendations issued on July 2, 2020 (Doc. No. 10) are
7 adopted in full;
- 8 2. Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint is dismissed without leave to amend due to
9 plaintiff's failure to state a cognizable claim;
- 10 3. All pending motions are denied as moot; and
- 11 4. The Clerk of the Court is directed to close this case.

12 IT IS SO ORDERED.

13 Dated: August 11, 2020

14 
15 _____
16 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28