1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	ALIDAD ALIBABA AHADPOUR,	No. 1:19-cv-00215-DAD-JDP (HC)
12	Petitioner,	
13	v.	ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND
14	MATTHEW G. WHITAKER, et al.,	<u>RECOMMENDATIONS AND DISMISSING</u> <u>HABEAS PETITION FOR FAILURE TO</u> PROSECUTE
15	Respondents.	
16		(Doc. No. 8)
17		
18	Petitioner Alidad Alibaba Ahadpour is a former federal detainee proceeding pro se with a	
19	petition for a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241. The matter was referred to a	
20	United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.	
21	On November 21, 2019, the assigned magistrate judge ordered petitioner to show cause	
22	why his petition should not be dismissed due to lack of jurisdiction. (Doc. No. 6.) However, the	
23	order was returned to the court unserved as undeliverable with a notation explaining that	
24	petitioner is no longer in federal immigration custody. Petitioner had until February 13, 2019 to	
25	update the court with a new address of record but failed to do so. Accordingly, on February 26,	
26	2020, the assigned magistrate judge issued findings and recommendations, recommending that	
27	the petition for federal habeas relief be denied due to petitioner's failure to prosecute and failure	
28	to comply with the court's orders. (Doc. No. 8.) The findings and recommendations were served	
		1

1	on petitioner and contained notice that any objections thereto were to be filed within fourteen (14)	
2	days of service. (Id. at 3.) No objections have been filed, and the time in which to do so has now	
3	passed.	
4	In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b)(1)(C), the court has conducted a	
5	de novo review of the case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the court concludes that the	
6	findings and recommendations are supported by the record and proper analysis.	
7	Accordingly:	
8	1. The findings and recommendations issued on February 26, 2020 (Doc. No. 8) are	
9	adopted in full;	
10	2. The petition for a writ of habeas corpus (Doc. No. 1) is denied without prejudice;	
11	and	
12	3. The Clerk of the Court is directed to close this case.	
13		
14	Dated: April 21, 2020 Jale A. Drad	
15	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	2	