1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	PATRICK M. McMILLIAN,	) No.: 1:19-cv-00444-NONE-SAB (PC)
12	Plaintiff,	) ) ODDED ADODTINIC EINIDINICS AND
13	v.	) ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND ) RECOMMENDATIONS AND GRANTING
14	O. DELGADO, et.al.,	) <u>DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY</u> ) JUDGMENT
15	Defendants.	) ) (Doc. Nos. 44, 46)
16		) )
17	Plaintiff Patrick M. McMillain is appearing <i>pro se</i> in this civil rights action brought pursuant to	
18	42 U.S.C. § 1983. Plaintiff, who was at the time of the events in question an inmate at the California	
19	Correctional Institution in Tehachapi, California, incurred serious injuries when he was struck twice	
20	by "less than lethal" riot control weapons during a prison riot. He advances Eighth amendment	
21	excessive force and state law negligence claims in this lawsuit. (Doc. No. 32.) This matter was	
22	referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pu	rsuant to 28 U.S.C. $\S$ 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.
23	On September 29, 2021, the assigned m	agistrate judge issued findings and recommendations
24	recommending that defendants be granted summary judgment in their favor, finding that the	
25	undisputed facts on summary judgment demonstrated that defendants did not use excessive force	
26	during the prison riot and acted reasonably in responding to that riot. (Doc. No. 46.) The findings and	
27	recommendations were served on the parties and contained notice that objections were due within	
28	twenty-one days. (Id. at 18.) No objections were filed and the time to do so has passed.	
		1

1	In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. $\S$ 636(b)(1)(C), the court has conducted a <i>de</i>	
2	<i>novo</i> review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the court finds the findings and	
3	recommendations to be supported by the record and by proper analysis.	
4	Accordingly, the court orders that:	
5	1. The findings and recommendations filed on September 9, 2021, (Doc. No. 44), are adopted	
6	in full;	
7	2. Defendants' motion for summary judgment (Doc. No. 38) is GRANTED; and	
8	3. The Clerk of the Court is directed to enter judgment in favor of defendants close this case.	
9		
10	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
11	Dated: November 17, 2021 Dale A. Dryd	
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	2	