



1 On February 18, 2020, the United States Marshal returned the USM-285 forms for  
2 Defendants Cena and Gonzales as unexecuted with a notation that, per the litigation coordinator  
3 at Kern Valley State Prison, Defendants Cena and Gonzales cannot be identified without more  
4 information. (ECF No. 24.)

5 On March 3, 2020, the Court issued an order directing Plaintiff to provide further  
6 information to identify and serve Defendants Cena and Gonzales. (ECF No. 28.) Plaintiff has  
7 failed to respond to the Court's March 3, 2020 order and the time to do so has expired.

8 Rule 4(m) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure provides:

9  
10 If a defendant is not served within 90 days after the complaint is filed, the court -  
11 on motion or on its own after notice to the plaintiff - must dismiss the action  
12 without prejudice against that defendant or order that service be made within a  
13 specified time. But if the plaintiff shows good cause for the failure, the court must  
14 extend the time for service for an appropriate period.

15 In cases involving a plaintiff proceeding *in forma pauperis*, the Marshal, upon order of the  
16 Court, shall serve the summons and the complaint. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(d); Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(c)(3).  
17 “[A]n incarcerated pro se plaintiff proceeding in forma pauperis is entitled to rely on the U.S.  
18 Marshal for service of the summons and complaint and [he] should not be penalized by having his  
19 action dismissed for failure to effect service where the U.S. Marshal or the court clerk has failed  
20 to perform his duties.” Walker v. Sumner, 14 F.3d 1415, 1422 (9th Cir. 1994) (internal  
21 quotations and citation omitted), abrogated on other grounds by Sandin v. Connor, 515 U.S. 472  
22 (1995). “So long as the prisoner has furnished the information necessary to identify the  
23 defendant, the marshal’s failure to effect service is automatically good cause. . . .” Id. (internal  
24 quotations and citation omitted). However, where a *pro se* plaintiff fails to provide the Marshal  
25 with accurate and sufficient information to effect service of the summons and complaint, the  
26 Court’s *sua sponte* dismissal of the unserved defendants is appropriate. Id. at 1421-22.

27 Here, the United States Marshal could not serve Defendants Cena and Gonzales without  
28 further identifying information, and Plaintiff failed to respond to the order to provide further  
information to assist the Marshal.

1 Accordingly, it is HEREBY RECOMMENDED that Defendants Cena and Gonzales be  
2 dismissed from the action, without prejudice, pursuant to Rule 4 of the Federal Rules of Civil  
3 Procedure.

4 This Findings and Recommendation will be submitted to the United States District Judge  
5 assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within **fourteen (14)**  
6 **days** after being served with this Findings and Recommendation, Plaintiff may file written  
7 objections with the Court. The document should be captioned “Objections to Magistrate Judge’s  
8 Findings and Recommendation.” Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the  
9 specified time may result in the waiver of rights on appeal. Wilkerson v. Wheeler, 772 F.3d 834,  
10 838-39 (9th Cir. 2014) (citing Baxter v. Sullivan, 923 F.2d 1391, 1394 (9th Cir. 1991)).

11 Therefore, the Court finds that is appropriate to require Plaintiff to provide the Court with  
12 further information sufficient to identify Defendants Cena and Gonzales for service of process.  
13 Accordingly, it is HEREBY ORDERED that, within **thirty (30) days** from the date of service of  
14 this order, Plaintiff shall file a written response providing the Court with further information  
15 regarding Defendants Cena’s and Gonzales’s identities so that the U.S. Marshal can effect service  
16 of the summons and complaint on Defendants Cena and Gonzales. Plaintiff’s failure to respond  
17 to this order will result in a recommendation to a District Judge to dismiss Defendants Cena and  
18 Gonzales from this action.

19  
20 IT IS SO ORDERED.

21 Dated: April 13, 2020

  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE