

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JORGE VELASQUEZ,
Plaintiff,
v.
RALPH DIAZ, et al.,
Defendants.

No. 1:19-cv-00683-DAD-BAM (PC)

ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND
RECOMMENDATIONS AND DENYING
PLAINTIFF’S MOTION FOR A
TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER AND
PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION

(Doc. Nos. 2, 13)

Plaintiff Jorge Velasquez is a former state prisoner proceeding pro se and *in forma pauperis* in this civil rights action under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.

Plaintiff’s complaint, filed on May 17, 2019 (Doc. No. 1), has not yet been screened by the assigned magistrate judge. However, on May 20, 2019, plaintiff filed a motion for a temporary restraining order and preliminary injunction, requesting that the court enjoin defendants and all persons acting on their behalf from merging or mixing the Sensitive Needs Yards prisoners with the General Population prisoners at Avenal State Prison. (Doc. No. 2.) On March 9, 2020, the assigned magistrate judge issued findings and recommendations recommending that plaintiff’s motion be denied because: (1) the request for injunctive relief had

1 been rendered moot by plaintiff's transfer from Avenal State Prison; (2) the court lacked
2 jurisdiction over the yet unserved defendants; and (3) plaintiff had failed to demonstrate a threat
3 of irreparable harm to himself. (Doc. No. 13.) The findings and recommendations were served
4 on plaintiff and contained notice that any objections thereto were to be filed within fourteen (14)
5 days of service. (*Id.* at 4.) To date, no objections to the findings and recommendations have been
6 filed, and the time in which to do so has now passed.

7 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), the court has conducted a
8 de novo review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the file, the court finds the findings and
9 recommendations to be supported by the record and proper analysis.

10 Accordingly:

- 11 1. The findings and recommendations issued on March 9, 2020 (Doc. No. 13) are
12 adopted in full; and
- 13 2. Plaintiff's motion for a temporary restraining order and preliminary injunction
14 (Doc. No. 2) is denied.

15 IT IS SO ORDERED.

16 Dated: March 30, 2020

17 
18 _____
19 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28