1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	KAREN SAHAKYAN,	No. 1:19-cv-00694-DAD-EPG (PC)
12	Plaintiff,	
13	V.	ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS AND DISMISSING
14	RALPH DIAZ, et al.,	ACTION WITH PREJUDICE
15	Defendants.	(Doc. No. 12)
16		
17		
18	Plaintiff Karen Sahakyan is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in	
19	this civil rights action filed pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This matter was referred to a United	
20	States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.	
21	On October 28, 2019, the assigned magistrate judge screened plaintiff's complaint	
22	pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915A and determined that it failed to state a cognizable claim for relief.	
23	(Doc. No. 10.) Plaintiff was granted leave to file a first amended complaint attempting to cure the	
24	deficiencies identified by the magistrate judge within thirty days after service of that screening	
25	order. (Id. at 8–9.) Plaintiff was warned that his failure to file an amended complaint in	
26	compliance with the screening order would result in a recommendation that this action be	
27	dismissed for failure to state a claim. (Id.) More than two months have passed since the issuance	
28	of that screening order and plaintiff has failed to file an amended complaint or indicate that he	

1

wishes to proceed with his complaint.

2 Therefore, on January 8, 2020, the magistrate judge issued findings and recommendations 3 recommending dismissal of this action, with prejudice, due to plaintiff's failure to state a 4 cognizable claim upon which relief may be granted, failure to obey a court order, and failure to 5 prosecute this action. (Doc. No. 12.) The pending findings and recommendations were served on 6 plaintiff and contained notice that any objections thereto were to be filed within twenty-one (21) 7 days after service. (Id. at 3.) To date, no objections to the findings and recommendations have 8 been filed, and the time in which to do so has now passed. 9 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), this court has conducted a 10 *de novo* review of the case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the court concludes that the 11 findings and recommendations are supported by the record and by proper analysis. 12 Accordingly, 1. 13 The findings and recommendations issued on January 8, 2020 (Doc No. 12) are 14 adopted in full; 15 2. This action is dismissed due to plaintiff's failure to state a claim, failure to obey a 16 court order, and failure to prosecute; and 17 3. The Clerk of the Court is directed to close this case. 18 IT IS SO ORDERED. 19 April 14, 2020 Dated: UNITED STATES DISTRIC 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 2