

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

KAREEM J. HOWELL,
Plaintiff,
v.
M. DO CANTO, et al.,
Defendants.

No. 1:19-cv-00854-DAD-GSA (PC)

ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND
RECOMMENDATIONS AND DISMISSING
CERTAIN CLAIMS

(Doc. No. 14)

Plaintiff Kareem J. Howell is a state prisoner proceeding *pro se* and *in forma pauperis* in this civil rights action brought pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.

On August 21, 2020, the assigned magistrate judge screened plaintiff's complaint in this action and found that plaintiff had stated a cognizable claim against defendant M. Do Canto for retaliation in violation of the First Amendment, but that plaintiff had failed to state any other cognizable claims against defendant M. Do Canto or any other named defendants. (Doc. No. 11.) Plaintiff was granted leave to file an amended complaint or notify the court of his willingness to proceed only on the claims found to be cognizable in the screening order within thirty (30) days after service of the screening order. (*Id.* at 12.) On September 3, 2020, plaintiff notified the court that he was willing to proceed only on the claim identified by the magistrate judge in the screening order as cognizable. (Doc. No. 12.)

