



1           Accordingly, on February 4, 2020, the Magistrate Judge issued findings and  
2 recommendations that this action proceed on Plaintiff's complaint against Defendants Schoo,  
3 Gonzalez, and Brooks for failure to protect in violation of the Eighth Amendment, and all other  
4 claims be dismissed from this action for failure to state a claim. (ECF No. 16.) The findings and  
5 recommendations were served on Plaintiff and contained notice that any objections were to be  
6 filed within fourteen (14) days after service. (Id. at 8.) Plaintiff timely filed objections on  
7 February 12, 2020. (ECF No. 17.)

8           In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), this Court has conducted a  
9 *de novo* review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, including Plaintiff's  
10 objections, the Court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and  
11 by proper analysis.

12           Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED as follows:

- 13           1. The findings and recommendations issued on February 4, 2020, (ECF. No. 16), are  
14           adopted in full;
- 15           2. This action shall proceed on Plaintiff's complaint, filed June 14, 2019, (ECF No. 1),  
16           against Defendants Schoo, Gonzalez, and Brooks for failure to protect in violation of  
17           the Eighth Amendment;
- 18           3. All other claims are dismissed from this action based on Plaintiff's failure to state  
19           claims upon which relief may be granted;
- 20           4. Plaintiff's motion for preliminary injunction, (ECF No. 14), is denied; and
- 21           5. This action is referred back to the Magistrate Judge for proceedings consistent with  
22           this order.

23  
24 IT IS SO ORDERED.

25 Dated: April 30, 2020

26  
27  
28  
  
\_\_\_\_\_  
SENIOR DISTRICT JUDGE