1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	HECTOR CLARENCE ANDERSON,	Case No. 1:19-cv-01048-LJO-SKO (PC)
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS, DENYING PLAINTIFF'S MOTIONS TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS, AND DISMISSING ACTION WITHOUT PREJUDICE
13	v.	
14	KERNAN, et al.,	
15	Defendants.	(Docs. 2, 10, 13)
16		
17	Plaintiff Hector Clarence Anderson is a state prisoner proceeding pro se in this civil rights	
18	action under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On July 31, 2019, and August 14, 2019, Plaintiff filed motions to	
19	proceed in forma pauperis. (Docs. 2, 10.) This matter was referred to a United States magistrate	
20	judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.	
21	On September 5, 2019, the magistrate judge issued findings and recommendations to deny	
22	Plaintiff's motions to proceed <i>in forma pauperis</i> because he has three "strikes" under 28 U.S.C.	
23	§ 1915(g) and fails to show that he is in imminent danger of serious physical injury. (Doc. 13.)	
24	Upon Plaintiff's motion, (Doc. 14), the magistrate judge granted Plaintiff an extension of time to	
25	file objections to the findings and recommendations. (Doc. 15.) Though the extended deadline has	
26	passed, Plaintiff has not filed any objections.	
27	In accordance with the provisions of $28 \times C = 8.636(h)(1)(C)$, this Court has conducted s	

de novo review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the file, the Court finds the findings and

28

recommendations to be supported by the record and proper analysis. Accordingly, the Court **HEREBY ORDERS** that: The findings and recommendations issued on September 5, 2019, (Doc. 13), be 1. **ADOPTED** in full; 2. Plaintiff's applications to proceed in forma pauperis, (Docs. 2, 10), be **DENIED**; and, 3. This action be **DISMISSED** without prejudice to refiling upon prepayment of the filing fee. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: **November 16, 2019** /s/ Lawrence J. O'Neill UNITED STATES CHIEF DISTRICT JUDGE