1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	PETE REYNA,	No. 1:19-cv-01202-NONE-BAM (PC)	
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS RECOMMENDING	
13	v.	THE DENIAL OF DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO DISMISS FOR FAILURE TO	
14	KINGS COUNTY JAIL MEDICAL, et al.,	PROSECUTE	
15	Defendants.	(Doc. No. 38)	
16			
17	Plaintiff Pete Reyna is a former pretrial detainee proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis		
18	in this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This action proceeds on plaintiff's first		
19	amended complaint against defendant Siddiqi ¹ for alleged violation of plaintiff's rights under the		
20	Fourteenth Amendment due to the delay in providing plaintiff medical care. This matter was		
21	referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule		
22	302.		
23	On November 2, 2020, the assigned magistrate judge issued findings and		
24	recommendations recommending that defendant's motion to dismiss due to plaintiff's failure to		
25	prosecute this action be denied. (Doc. No. 38.) Those findings and recommendations were		
26	served on the parties and contained notice that any objections thereto were to be filed within		
27			
28	¹ The named defendant was erroneously sued as defendant "Sadiki."		
	1		

1	fourteen (14) days after service. (Id. at 3-4.) No objections have been filed, and the deadline to	
2	do so has expired.	
3	In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b)(1)(C), this court has conducted a	
4	de novo review of the case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the court concludes that the	
5	magistrate judge's findings and recommendations are supported by the record and by proper	
6	analysis.	
7	Accordingly,	
8	1. The findings and recommendations issued on November 2, 2020, (Doc. No. 38), are	
9	adopted in full;	
10	2. Defendant's motion to dismiss due to plaintiff's failure to prosecute this action (Doc. No.	
11	37), is denied; and	
12	3. This action is referred back to the assigned Magistrate Judge for further proceedings	
13	consistent with this order.	
14	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
15	Dated: December 7, 2020 Dale A. Dryd	
16	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23 24		
24 25		
25 26		
20 27		
27		
20	2	