

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MARIYA KARPENKO,

Plaintiff,

v.

C R BARD INCORPORATED, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. 1:19-cv-01317-DAD-BAM

**ORDER REGARDING JOINT STATUS
REPORT AND MOTION TO EXTEND
STAY**

(Doc. 51)

On January 19, 2021, following a notice of settlement, the Court directed the parties to file appropriate papers to dismiss or conclude this action in its entirety no later than April 15, 2021. The parties were informed that if they required additional time to submit dispositional documents, then they could file a request for an extension supported by good cause. (Doc. 44.) On April 13, 2021, the parties filed a Joint Status Report and Motion to Extend Stay. (Doc. 45.) The Court granted the motion to stay and directed the parties to file dispositive documents no later than July 14, 2021. (Doc. 46.) The parties were again informed that if they required additional time, they could file a request for an extension supported by good cause. (*Id.*) On July 13, 2021, the parties filed a Joint Status Report and Motion to Extend Stay. (Doc. 47.) The Court granted the motion and directed the parties to file dispositive documents no later

1 than October 15, 2021. (Doc. 48.) The parties again were informed that if they required
2 additional time, they could file a request for an extension supported by good cause. (*Id.*) On
3 October 12, 2021, the parties filed a third Joint Status Report and Motion to Extend Stay.
4 (Doc. 49.) The parties were again informed that if they required additional time, they could file
5 a request for an extension supported by good cause. (Doc. 50.)

6 On January 12, 2022, the parties filed a Joint Status Report and Motion to Extend Stay.
7 (Doc. 51.) The parties request that the Court allow the stay to remain in place for an additional
8 ninety (90) days to allow time for the parties to finalize the terms of the settlement. The parties
9 explain that they are continuing to work to execute the specific terms of the settlement and that
10 Plaintiff Mariya Karpenko will dismiss the complaint when the settlement is finalized.
11 Plaintiff's claim is part of an aggregate settlement for multiple plaintiffs. (*Id.* at 1-2.)

12 The Court construes the parties' motion as a request to extend the deadline to file
13 dispositional documents. Having considered the parties' request, and good cause appearing,
14 the deadline for the parties to file appropriate papers to dismiss or conclude this action in its
15 entirety is HEREBY EXTENDED to **April 15, 2022**. If the parties require additional time to
16 submit dispositional documents, then they may file a request for an extension supported by
17 good cause. Local Rule 160. Failure to comply with this order may be grounds for the
18 imposition of sanctions on counsel or parties who contributed to violation of this order. *See*
19 Local Rules 160, 172.

20
21 IT IS SO ORDERED.

22 Dated: January 13, 2022

/s/ Barbara A. McAuliffe
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE