1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
8		
9		
10	ANTHONY LETRICE TOWNSEL,	Case No.: 1:19-cv-01394-JLT (HC)
11	Petitioner,	ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL
12	v.	(Doc. 2)
13	RON DAVIS,	(1000.2)
14	Respondent.	
15		
16		
17	Petitioner has requested the appointment of counsel. There currently exists no	
18	absolute right to appointment of counsel in habeas proceedings. See, e.g., Anderson v.	
19	Heinze, 258 F.2d 479, 481 (9th Cir. 1958); Mitchell v. Wyrick, 727 F.2d 773, 774 (8th Cir.	
20	1984). However, Title 18 U.S.C. § 3006A(a)(2)(B) authorizes the appointment of counsel	
21	at any stage of the case if "the interests of justice so require." See Rule 8(c), Rules	
22	Governing Section 2254 Cases. In the present case, the Court does not find that the interests	
23	of justice require the appointment of counsel at the present time. Accordingly, Petitioner's	
24	request for appointment of counsel is DENIED.	
25	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
26		
27	Dated: October 8, 2019	<u>/s/ Jennifer L. Thurston</u> UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
28		
		1