

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SHIKEB SADDOZAI,
Plaintiff,
v.
K. HOSEY, et al.,
Defendants.

No. 1:19-cv-01611-DAD-HBK (PC)

ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND
RECOMMENDATIONS AND DENYING
PLAINTIFF’S MOTION FOR INJUNCTIVE
RELIEF

(Doc. Nos. 23, 28)

Plaintiff Shikeb Saddozai is a state prisoner proceeding *pro se* and *in forma pauperis* in this civil rights action brought pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.

On March 24, 2021, the assigned magistrate judge issued findings and recommendations recommending that plaintiff’s motion for preliminary injunctive relief (Doc. No. 23) be denied. (Doc. No. 28.) Those findings and recommendations were served on plaintiff and contained notice that any objections thereto were to be filed within thirty (30) days from the date of service. (*Id.* at 5.) To date, no objections have been filed, and the time in which to do so has now passed.

In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 304, this court has conducted a *de novo* review of the case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by proper analysis.


1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Accordingly,

1. The findings and recommendations issued on March 24, 2021 (Doc. No. 28) are adopted in full;
2. Plaintiff's motion for a preliminary injunction (Doc. No. 23) is denied; and
3. This case is referred back to the assigned magistrate judge for further proceedings consistent with this order.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: May 4, 2021


UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE