

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

LETHA PEARL WILKS,  
Plaintiff,  
v.  
CHOWCHILLA WOMEN FACILITY,  
Defendant.

No. 1:19-cv-01640-DAD-GSA (PC)  
ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND  
RECOMMENDATIONS AND DISMISSING  
ACTION  
(Doc. No. 14)

Plaintiff Letha Pearl Wilks is a civil detainee at Patton State Hospital proceeding *pro se* and *in forma pauperis* in this civil rights action filed pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.

On October 20, 2020, the assigned magistrate judge screened plaintiff’s complaint and found that the allegations in the complaint were vague and unintelligible, and that plaintiff failed to state any cognizable claim. (Doc. No. 12 at 4.) In that screening order, the court provided plaintiff guidance regarding the pleading and legal standards applicable to the claims she was attempting to assert in her complaint, and plaintiff was granted leave to file an amended complaint within thirty (30) days from service of that screening order. (*Id.* at 10–11.) To date, plaintiff has not filed an amended complaint or sought an extension of time in which to do so.

1           Accordingly, on January 11, 2021, the assigned magistrate judge issued findings and  
2 recommendations recommending that this action be dismissed due to plaintiff's failure to state a  
3 cognizable claim upon which relief may be granted. (Doc. No. 14.) Those pending findings and  
4 recommendations were served on plaintiff and contained notice that any objections thereto were  
5 to be filed within fourteen (14) days after service. (*Id.* at 2.) To date, no objections to the  
6 pending findings and recommendations have been filed, and the time in which to do so has now  
7 passed.

8           In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), this court has conducted a  
9 *de novo* review of the case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the court concludes that the  
10 findings and recommendations are supported by the record and by proper analysis.

11           Accordingly,

- 12           1.       The findings and recommendations issued on January 11, 2021 (Doc No. 14) are  
13                   adopted in full;
- 14           2.       This action is dismissed due to plaintiff's failure to state a claim; and
- 15           3.       The Clerk of the Court is directed to close this case.

16 IT IS SO ORDERED.

17           Dated: February 18, 2021

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28