



1 85.) The Court holds that the alleged missing documents do not overcome Plaintiff's failure to  
2 exhaust his administrative remedies, as the Magistrate Judge found in his order, dated February 14,  
3 2023. (See ECF No. 77.) Plaintiff does not explain why the missing documents were not submitted  
4 with his opposition, due June 2, 2022, when he had lost these documents after the deadline, on  
5 January 18, 2023. (See ECF No. 85.) In opposition to Defendant's motion for summary judgment,  
6 Plaintiff filed a 41-page brief, including several exhibits including CDCR Form 22s. (See ECF No.  
7 67.) Overall, the Court finds that Plaintiff's notice, construed as objections to the findings and  
8 recommendations, do not overcome the fact that he did not exhaust administrative remedies.


9 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 304, this  
10 Court has conducted a *de novo* review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the  
11 Court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and proper analysis.

12 Accordingly,

- 13 1. The Findings and Recommendations issued by the Magistrate Judge on February  
14 14, 2023, (ECF No. 77), are ADOPTED in full;
- 15 2. Defendant Lucas's motion for summary judgment for failure to exhaust, filed on  
16 March 14, 2022, (ECF No. 61), is GRANTED;
- 17 3. This action is DISMISSED without prejudice, for failure to exhaust administrative  
18 remedies before filing suit; and
- 19 4. The Clerk is directed to CLOSE this case.

20  
21  
22 IT IS SO ORDERED.

23 Dated: June 12, 2023

24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE