

1 KEVIN G. LITTLE, Bar No. 149818
LAW OFFICE OF KEVIN G. LITTLE
2 Post Office Box 8656
Fresno, California 93747
3 Telephone: (559) 342-5800
Facsimile: (559) 242-2400
4 E-Mail: *kevin@kevingliddle.com*

5 Attorneys for Plaintiffs
CHRISTINE SAUNDERS AND DAVID SAUNDERS

6 RYAN L. EDDINGS, Bar No. 256519
7 VANESSA M. COHN, Bar No. 314619
LITTLER MENDELSON, P.C.
8 5200 North Palm Avenue, Suite 302
Fresno, CA 93704-2225
9 Telephone: (559) 244-7500
Facsimile: (559) 244-7525
10 Email: *reddings@littler.com*
vcohn@littler.com

11 Attorneys for Defendant
12 MICROSOFT CORPORATION

13 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
14 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

15 CHRISTINE SAUNDERS, DAVID
16 SAUNDERS
17 Plaintiffs,
18 v.
19 MICROSOFT CORPORATION,
20 Defendant.

Case No. 1:19-cv-01685-AWI-BAM

**ORDER GRANTING STIPULATION FOR
EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE
DISPOSITIONAL DOCUMENTS**

Complaint Filed: December 1, 2019

21 Plaintiffs Christine Saunders and David Saunders and Defendant Microsoft
22 Corporation (together, the "Parties") have resolved this case in its entirety. [See, e.g., ECF 16,
23 Plaintiffs' Notice of Settlement.] However, pursuant to the terms of the Parties' settlement, the Parties
24 require a brief extension of time, through August 20, 2020, to file dispositional documentation in this
25 matter.

26 It is so stipulated.

27 ///
28

1 Dated: July 17, 2020

2

3

/s/ Kevin G. Little
KEVIN G. LITTLE
THE LAW OFFICE OF KEVIN G. LITTLE
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
CHRISTINE SAUNDERS AND DAVID
SAUNDERS

4

5

6

7 Dated: July 17, 2020

8

/s/ Vanessa M. Cohn
RYAN L. EDDINGS
VANESSA M. COHN
LITTLER MENDELSON, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendant
MICROSOFT CORPORATION

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1 **ORDER**

2 Pursuant to Local Rule 160(b), the Court may extend the time for filing dispositional papers
3 for good cause shown. Here, the parties have not explained why they require an extension of time to
4 file dispositional papers. *See Sprague v. Fin. Credit Network, Inc.*, 2018 WL 4616688, at *4 (E.D.
5 Cal. Sept. 25, 2018) (“[Good cause] requires the party to show that despite due diligence the
6 scheduled deadline could not be met.”). However, based upon the parties’ consent to the amended
7 deadline, and in the interest of justice, the Court finds that the parties’ request is warranted and no
8 prejudice will result.

9 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the parties shall file appropriate papers to
10 dismiss or conclude this action in its entirety no later than August 20, 2020. The parties are advised
11 that any future extensions will require demonstrated showing of good cause. Good cause may
12 consist of the inability to comply with court orders in light of the COVID-19 pandemic. Any such
13 future difficulties should be explained.

14
15 IT IS SO ORDERED.

16 Dated: July 20, 2020

17 /s/ Barbara A. McAuliffe
18 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE