

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CLARENCE LONELL ROBERSON,

 Plaintiff,

 v.

L.T., et al.,

 Defendants.

No. 1:19-cv-01724-ADA-EPG (PC)

ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND
RECOMMENDATIONS

(ECF Nos. 73 & 83)

Clarence Lonell Roberson (“Plaintiff”) is a state prisoner proceeding *pro se* and *in forma pauperis* in this civil rights action filed pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.

On November 18, 2022, the assigned Magistrate Judge entered findings and recommendations, recommending that “[t]he Doe Defendants be dismissed from this action, without prejudice, because of Plaintiff’s failure to provide the Court and the Marshal with accurate and sufficient information to effect service of the summons and complaint on the Doe Defendants within the time period prescribed by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4(m),” and that “[t]he Clerk of Court be directed to close this case.” (ECF No. 83 at 3-4).

Plaintiff was provided an opportunity to file objections to the findings and recommendations. The deadline for filing objections has passed and Plaintiff has not filed objections or otherwise responded to the findings and recommendations.


1 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule 304, this
2 Court has conducted a *de novo* review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the
3 Court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and proper analysis.

4 Accordingly,

- 5 1. The findings and recommendations issued on November 18, 2022, (ECF No. 83),
6 are adopted in full;
- 7 2. The Doe Defendants are dismissed from this action, without prejudice, because of
8 Plaintiff's failure to provide the Court and the Marshal with accurate and sufficient
9 information to effect service of the summons and complaint on the Doe
10 Defendants within the time period prescribed by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure
11 4(m); and
- 12 3. The Clerk of Court is directed to close this case.

13
14
15 IT IS SO ORDERED.

16 Dated: January 13, 2023

17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28


UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE