1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	ANTOINE L. ARDDS,	) Case No.: 1:19-cv-01738-SAB (PC)
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S FOURTH MOTION FOR TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER  (ECF Nos. 33, 34)
13	v.	
14	D. HICKS, et.al.,	
15	Defendants.	) (LCI 105. 55, 54)
16		)
17		_) _)
18	Plaintiff Antoine L. Ardds is appearing <i>pro se</i> and <i>in forma pauperis</i> in this civil rights action	
19	pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983.	
20	On August 25, 2020, the undersigned issued Findings and Recommendations recommending	
21	that Plaintiff's fourth motion for a temporary restraining order be denied. (ECF No. 34.) The	
22	Findings and Recommendations were served on the parties and contained notice that objections were	
23	due within fourteen days. (Id.) No objections were filed and the time to do has expired.	
24	On September 16, 2020, the action was reassigned from District Judge Dale A. Drozd to the	
25	undersigned based on the parties consent to magistrate judge jurisdiction. (ECF Nos. 39.)	
26	///	
27	///	
28	///	

In light of the reassignment of the case to the undersigned pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(c)(1), the August 25, 2020 Findings and Recommendations are converted to a final order and Plaintiff's fourth motion for a temporary restraining order is denied for the reasons explained therein. (ECF Nos. 33, 34.)

? ||

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: **October 13, 2020** 

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE