

1
2
3
4
5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
6 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
7

8 ADALBERTO SOLIS,

9 Plaintiff,

10 v.

11 FRESNO COUNTY SHERIFF'S
12 DEPARTMENT, et al.,

13 Defendants.

Case No. 1:20-cv-00048-AWI-EPG (PC)

ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND
RECOMMENDATIONS

(DOC NOS. 12 & 14)

14
15 Adalberto Solis (“Plaintiff”) is a pre-trial detainee proceeding *pro se* and *in forma*
16 *pauperis* in this civil rights action filed pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred
17 to a United States magistrate judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.

18 On September 22, 2020, Magistrate Judge Erica P. Grosjean entered findings and
19 recommendations, recommending that “[t]his case proceed on Plaintiff’s Fourteenth
20 Amendment failure to protect claim against Officer Portillo,” and that “[a]ll other claims and
21 defendants be dismissed.” (ECF No. 14, p. 8).

22 Plaintiff was provided an opportunity to file objections to the findings and
23 recommendations. Plaintiff filed his objections on October 19, 2020. (ECF No. 15).

24 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 304, this
25 Court has conducted a *de novo* review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file,
26 the Court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and proper
27 analysis.
28

1 Accordingly, THE COURT HEREBY ORDERS that:

- 2 1. The findings and recommendations issued by the magistrate judge on September
3 22, 2020, (Doc. No. 14) are ADOPTED in full;
- 4 2. This case proceeds on Plaintiff's Fourteenth Amendment failure to protect claim
5 against Officer Portillo;
- 6 3. All other claims and defendants are dismissed;¹
- 7 4. The Clerk of Court is directed to reflect the dismissal of defendants Fresno
8 County Sheriff's Department and Cuevas on the Court's docket; and
- 9 5. This case is referred back to the magistrate judge for further proceedings.

10 IT IS SO ORDERED.

11 Dated: December 4, 2020

12 
13 SENIOR DISTRICT JUDGE

14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28 ¹ The dismissal does not necessarily prevent Plaintiff from moving to amend his complaint at a later time in the process and in accordance with any scheduling orders that may be entered.