

1 the Court advised of his current address. (Doc. 4 at 5.) Pursuant to Local Rule 183(b), “[i]f mail
2 directed to a *pro se* plaintiff at the address of record is returned by the United States Postal
3 Service as undeliverable” and “[i]f a *pro se* plaintiff’s address is not updated within sixty-three
4 (63) days of mail being returned as undeliverable, the case will be dismissed for failure to
5 prosecute.” L.R. 183(b).

6 The Local Rules also provide that the “[f]ailure of counsel or of a party to comply with
7 . . . any order of the Court may be grounds for the imposition by the Court of any and all
8 sanctions . . . within the inherent power of the Court.” L.R. 110. “District courts have inherent
9 power to control their dockets” and in exercising that power, may impose sanctions, including
10 dismissal of an action. *Thompson v. Hous. Auth., City of Los Angeles*, 782 F.2d 829, 831 (9th
11 Cir. 1986). A court may dismiss an action based on a party’s failure to prosecute an action, obey
12 a court order, or comply with local rules. *See, e.g., Ferdik v. Bonzelet*, 963 F.2d 1258, 1260–61
13 (9th Cir. 1992) (dismissal for failure to comply with a court order to amend a complaint); *Malone*
14 *v. U.S. Postal Serv.*, 833 F.2d 128, 130–31 (9th Cir. 1987) (dismissal for failure to comply with a
15 court order); *Henderson v. Duncan*, 779 F.2d 1421, 1424 (9th Cir. 1986) (dismissal for failure to
16 prosecute and to comply with local rules).

17 Despite the passage of more than sixty-three days since the U.S. Postal Service returned
18 the Court’s order reassigning the case, Plaintiff has failed to notify the Court of his current
19 address. It appears that Plaintiff has abandoned this action. Whether he has done so intentionally
20 or mistakenly is inconsequential. Plaintiff bears the responsibility to comply with the Court’s
21 orders and the Local Rules. The Court declines to expend its limited resources on a case that
22 Plaintiff has chosen to ignore.

23 Accordingly, the Court RECOMMENDS that this action be DISMISSED without
24 prejudice for Plaintiff’s failure to obey a court order, comply with the Local Rules, and prosecute
25 this action.

26 These Findings and Recommendations will be submitted to the United States District
27 Judge assigned to this case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). **Within fourteen**
28 **(14) days** from the date of service of these Findings and Recommendations, Plaintiff may file

1 written objections with the Court. The document should be captioned, “Objections to Magistrate
2 Judge’s Findings and Recommendations.” Plaintiff’s failure to file objections within the
3 specified time may result in waiver of his rights on appeal. *Wilkerson v. Wheeler*, 772 F.3d 834,
4 839 (9th Cir. 2014) (citing *Baxter v. Sullivan*, 923 F.2d 1391, 1394 (9th Cir. 1991)).

5 IT IS SO ORDERED.

6 Dated: December 28, 2022

7 
8 _____
9 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28