

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CRAIG CARROLL,
Plaintiff,
v.
SCOTT, et al.,
Defendants.

No. 1:20-cv-00086-NONE-EPG (PC)
ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND
RECOMMENDATIONS
(Doc. No. 16)

Craig Carroll (“plaintiff”) is a federal prisoner proceeding *pro se* and *in forma pauperis* with this civil rights action. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.

On September 18, 2020, the assigned magistrate judge issued findings and recommendations, recommending that “[t]his case be dismissed, without prejudice, because of Plaintiff’s failure to comply with a court order and to prosecute this case,” and that “[t]he Clerk of Court be directed to close this case.” (Doc. No. 16 at 3.)

Plaintiff was provided an opportunity to file objections to the findings and recommendations. The deadline to file objections has passed, and plaintiff has not filed objections or otherwise responded to the findings and recommendations.

In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 304, this court has conducted a de novo review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and proper


1 analysis.

2 Accordingly, THE COURT HEREBY ORDERS that:

- 3 1. The findings and recommendations issued by the magistrate judge on September 18,
4 2020 (Doc. No. 16), are ADOPTED IN FULL;
- 5 2. This case is dismissed, without prejudice, because of plaintiff's failure to comply
6 with a court order and to prosecute this case; and
- 7 3. The Clerk of Court is directed to assign a district judge to this case for the purpose
8 of closing the case and then to close this case.
- 9

10 IT IS SO ORDERED.

11 Dated: October 13, 2020

12 
13 _____
14 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28