

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JAMES RAY TAYLOR,
Plaintiff,
v.
RALPH DIAZ, et al.,
Defendants.

No. 1:20-cv-00225-AWI-JLT (PC)

ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND
RECOMMENDATIONS AND DENYING
MOTION FOR TEMPORARY RESTRAINING
ORDER OR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION

(Docs. 3, 7)

Plaintiff James Ray Taylor is a state prisoner proceeding *pro se* and *in forma pauperis* in this civil rights action. This matter was referred to a United States magistrate judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.

On February 24, 2020, the assigned magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations, recommending that Plaintiff’s motion for a temporary restraining order and/or a preliminary injunction (Doc. 3) be denied. (Doc. 7.) The magistrate judge found that Plaintiff’s motion is moot in light of Plaintiff’s transfer to a different prison. (*Id.* at 3.) The judge further found that Plaintiff does not demonstrate a specific threat of harm. (*Id.* at 3-4.) The findings and recommendations were served on Plaintiff and provided him 14 days to file objections thereto. (*Id.* at 4.) Plaintiff has not filed any objections and the time to do so has passed.

In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), the Court has conducted a *de novo* review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the Court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and proper analysis.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Accordingly, the Court ORDERS:

1. The findings and recommendations filed on February 24, 2020 (Doc. 7) are ADOPTED in full;
2. Plaintiff's motion for a temporary restraining order and/or a preliminary injunction (Doc. 3) is DENIED.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: April 30, 2020



SENIOR DISTRICT JUDGE