1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 Case No. 1:20-cv-00289-HBK (PC) PAUL EDWARD DURAN, 12 ORDER DIRECTING CLERK TO CORRECT Plaintiff, MISIDENTIFIED DOCKET ENTRY 13 v. (Doc. No. 76) 14 LONGORIA, 15 Defendant. 16 17 On January 24, 2025, pro se Plaintiff filed a pleading titled "Objections to Defendant's 18 Motion for Summary Judgment," which was misidentified when docketed by the Clerk as 19 Plaintiff's "Objections to Findings and Recommendations." (Doc. No. 76). In an abundance of 20 caution, the Court will direct the Clerk to correct the misidentified pleading on the docket. 21 Defendant shall file a reply, if any, to Plaintiff's opposition within fourteen (14) days of this date 22 on this Order correcting the misidentified pleading. Local Rule 230(1). 23 ACCORDINGLY, it is **ORDERED**: 24 1. The Clerk of Court shall correct the docket to reflect that Plaintiff's pleading filed on 25 January 24, 2025 (Doc. No. 76) is properly titled "Plaintiff's Objections to 26 27 <sup>1</sup> The Clerk further linked the pleading the Findings and Recommendations issued on April 5, 2023 (Doc. 28 No. 27), which were adopted by the district court on April 7, 2023 (Doc. No. 30).

1	Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment."
2	2. Defendant's reply, if any, to Plaintiff's opposition is due within fourteen (14) days of
3	this Order.
4	
5	Dated: January 28, 2025 Alexa M. Barch - Kuelte
6	HELENA M. BARCH-KUCHTA UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
7	UNITED STATES MADISTRATE JUDGE
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	