

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

JOHANNES KETZLER and NIKO GROTH,  <div style="padding-left: 40px;">Plaintiffs,</div>  <div style="padding-left: 80px;">v.</div>  ROBERT ROYAL FAUCHER,  <div style="padding-left: 40px;">Defendant.</div>	) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) )	Case No.: 1:20-cv-0430 BAK (SKO)  <b>ORDER DIRECTING THE CLERK TO CLOSE THE ACTION</b>  (Doc. 30)
---	--	---

---

On December 28, 2021, the parties filed a stipulation indicating all parties agreed that the above-captioned action is dismissed in its entirety, with prejudice. (Doc. 30.) Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(a)(1)(A)(ii), “the plaintiff may dismiss an action without a court order by filing . . . a stipulation of dismissal signed by all parties who have appeared.” Because all parties who have appeared signed the stipulation, it “automatically terminate[d] the action.” *Wilson v. City of San Jose*, 111 F.3d 688, 692 (9th Cir. 1997); *see also* Fed.R.Civ.P. 41(a)(1)(A)(ii). Accordingly, based upon the stipulation of the parties, the Clerk of Court is **DIRECTED** to close this action.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: **January 7, 2022**

*/s/ Sheila K. Oberto*  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE