1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	GILBERT NAVARRO,	No. 1:20-cv-0524 JLT SKO (PC)	
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS AND DISMISSING THE ACTION WITH PREJUDICE (Doc. 44)	
13	v.		
14	J. ST. CLAIR, et al.,		
15	Defendants.		
16			
17	Gilbert Navarro asserts he suffered violations of his Eighth Amendment rights while		
18	incarcerated. (See generally Doc. 8.) Defendants filed a motion for summary judgment. (Doc.		
19	38.) In the meanwhile, the assigned magistrate judge ordered Plaintiff to show cause why the		
20	action should not be dismissed for his failure to prosecute. (Doc. 42.) Although Plaintiff did not		
21	respond to the order, the magistrate judge discharged the order to show cause and ordered		
22	Plaintiff to file an opposition to the motion for summary judgment or a notice of non-opposition,		
23	within 30 days of the date of service of the order. (Doc. 43 at 3.) In addition, the Court advised		
24	Plaintiff that the "failure to comply with this Order will result in a recommendation that this		
25	case be dismissed with prejudice for a failure to prosecute." (Id., emphasis in original.)		
26	Plaintiff did not respond to the Court's order.		
27	The magistrate judge then found Plaintiff failed to prosecute the action and failed to obey		
28	the Court's order. (Doc. 44.) Therefore, the Court recommended the action be dismissed with		

1	prejudice. (Id. at 4.) The Court granted 14 days from the date of service for Plaintiff to file any		
2	objections to the Findings and Recommendations and advised him that the "failure to file		
3	objections within the specified time may result in waiver of his rights on appeal." (Id., citing		
4	Wilkerson v. Wheeler, 772 F.3d 834, 839 (9th Cir. 2014); Baxter v. Sullivan, 923 F.2d 1391, 1394		
5	(9th Cir. 1991).) Plaintiff has not filed any objections, and the time do so has passed.		
6	According to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), this Court conducted a <i>de novo</i> review of this		
7	case. Having carefully reviewed the entire matter, the Court concludes the Findings and		
8	Recommendations are supported by the record and proper analysis. Based on the foregoing, the		
9	Court ORDERS:		
10	1.	The findings and recomme	ndations issued on June 14, 2022 (Doc. 44) are
11		ADOPTED in full.	
12	2.	This action is <b>DISMISSE</b>	<b>D</b> with prejudice.
13	3.	Defendants' motion for su	mmary judgment (Doc. 38) is terminated as moot.
14	4.	The Clerk of Court is direc	ted to close this case.
15			
16	IT IS SO OF		Opini 110 1 Tana 2 to
17	Dated:	July 6, 2022	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
			2
	II		