1					
2					
3					
4					
5					
6					
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
8	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
9					
10	MARK ANTHONY BROWN,	Case No. 1:20-cv-00661-NONE-EPG (PC)			
11	Plaintiff,				
12	v.	ORDER SETTING SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE			
13	F. RODRIGUEZ, et al.,				
14	Defendants.				
15		I			
16	Mark Anthony Brown ("Plaintiff") is a	a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma			
17	<i>pauperis</i> in this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The Court has determined that				
18	this case will benefit from a settlement conference	ence. Therefore, this case will be referred to			
19	Magistrate Judge Sheila K. Oberto to conduct a settlement conference at the U. S. District Court,				
20	2500 Tulare Street, Fresno, California 93721 in Courtroom #7 on January 26, 2021 at 10:30 a.m.				
21	The court will issue the necessary transportation order in due course. ¹				
22	In accordance with the above, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:				
23	1. This case is set for a settlement conference before Magistrate Judge Sheila K. Oberto				
24	on January 26, 2021, at 10:30 a.m. at the U.S. District Court, 2500 Tulare Street,				
25	Fresno, California 93721 in Courtroom #7.				
26	2. A representative with full and unlimited authority to negotiate and enter into a binding				
27 28	¹ The preference of the settlement conference judge is to hold this settlement conference in person. However, if this is not possible in light of the ongoing pandemic, the Court will arrange for appearance by other means. 1				

1		settlement shall attend in person. ²		
2	3.	Those in attendance must be prepared to discuss the claims, defenses and damages.		
3		The failure of any counsel, party or authorized person subject to this order to appear in		
4		person may result in the imposition of sanctions. In addition, the conference will not		
5		proceed and will be reset to another date.		
6	4.	Each party shall provide a confidential settlement statement to the following email		
7		address: <u>skoorders@caed.uscourts.gov</u> . Plaintiff shall mail his confidential settlement		
8	statement addressed: U.S. District Court, 2500 Tulare Street, Fresno, California			
9		93721, "Attention: Magistrate Judge Sheila K. Oberto." The envelope shall be marked		
10		"Confidential Settlement Statement." Settlement statements shall arrive no later than		
11		January 19, 2021. Parties shall also file a Notice of Submission of Confidential		
12	Settlement Statement (See Local Rule 270(d)).			
13				
14		Settlement statements should not be filed with the Clerk of the Court nor served on		
15		any other party. Settlement statements shall be clearly marked "confidential" with		
16		the date and time of the settlement conference clearly noted on the first page.		
17				
18		The confidential settlement statement shall be no longer than five pages in length,		
19	typed or neatly printed, and include the following:			
20		a. A brief statement of the facts of the case.		
21	2 11/1 : 1 - 41 -	exercise of its authority is subject to abuse of discretion review, "the district court has the authority to		
22	order parties	s, including the federal government, to participate in mandatory settlement conferences" United States		
23	v. United States District Court for the Northern Mariana Islands, 694 F.3d 1051, 1053, 1057, 1059 (9 th Cir. 2012) ("the district court has broad authority to compel participation in mandatory settlement conference[s]."). The term "full authority to settle" means that the individuals attending the mediation conference must be authorized to fully explore settlement options and to agree at that time to any settlement terms acceptable to the parties. <u>G. Heileman Brewing Co., Inc. v. Joseph Oat Corp.</u> , 871 F.2d 648, 653 (7 th Cir. 1989), <u>cited with approval in Official Airline Guides, Inc. v. Goss</u> , 6 F.3d 1385, 1396 (9 th Cir. 1993). The individual with full authority to settle must also have "unfettered discretion and authority" to change the settlement position of the party, if appropriate. <u>Pitman v. Brinker Int'l., Inc.</u> , 216 F.R.D. 481, 485-86 (D. Ariz. 2003), <u>amended on recon. in part</u> , <u>Pitman v. Brinker Int'l., Inc.</u> , 2003 WL 23353478 (D. Ariz. 2003). The purpose behind requiring the attendance of a person with full settlement authority is that the parties' view of the case may be altered during the face to face conference. <u>Pitman</u> , 216 F.R.D. at 486. An authorization to settle for a limited dollar amount or sum certain can be found not to comply with the			
24				
25				
26				
27				
28	requirement of full authority to settle. <u>Nick v. Morgan's Foods, Inc.</u> , 270 F.3d 590, 596-97 (8 th Cir. 2001).			
		-		

1		b.	A brief statement of th	ne claims and defenses, i.e., statutory or other grounds	
2			upon which the claims	s are founded; a forthright evaluation of the parties'	
3			likelihood of prevailin	ng on the claims and defenses; and a description of the	
4			major issues in disput	e.	
5		c.	A summary of the pro	ceedings to date.	
6		d.	An estimate of the cost and time to be expended for further discovery, pretrial,		
7			and trial.		
8		e.	The party's position on settlement, including present demands and offers and a		
9			history of past settlem	ent discussions, offers, and demands.	
10	f. A brief statement of each party's expectations and goals for the settlement				
11			conference, including	how much a party is willing to accept and/or willing to	
12			pay.		
13	g. If the parties intend to discuss the joint settlement of any other actions or				
14	claims not in this suit, give a brief description of each action or claim as set				
15			forth above, including	case number(s) if applicable.	
16					
17	IT IS SO ORDERED.				
18	Dated:	Oc	tober 22, 2020	15/ Erici P. Grong	
19	Duitedi			UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28				3	