

1 complexity of the legal issues involved.” *Id.* (internal quotation marks and citations omitted).

2 In the present case, the Court does not find the required exceptional circumstances. The
3 Court has screened Plaintiff’s complaint and found that he failed to state any cognizable claim.
4 Even if it is assumed that Plaintiff is not well versed in the law and that he is able to amend his
5 complaint to state cognizable claims, which, if proved, would entitle him to relief, his case is not
6 exceptional. The Court is faced with similar cases almost daily. Further, at this early stage in the
7 proceedings, and particularly in light of the Court’s screening order finding that Plaintiff has
8 failed to state any cognizable claim, the Court cannot make a determination that Plaintiff is likely
9 to succeed on the merits. Finally, based on a review of the record in this case, the Court does not
10 find that Plaintiff cannot adequately articulate his claims. *Id.*

11 For the foregoing reasons, IT IS ORDERED that Plaintiff’s motion for appointment of
12 counsel (ECF No. 10) is DENIED without prejudice.

13
14 IT IS SO ORDERED.

15 Dated: September 10, 2020

16 /s/ Eric P. Gray
17 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28